From: Elspeth Ingleby

To: <u>Hilary Saunders</u>; <u>Christopher Knowles</u>

Cc: Planning; Mark Antcliff

Subject: RE: NYM/2018/0806/FL Fields Farm, Station Lane, Cloughton

Date: 15 April 2019 14:42:43

Dear Hilary and Chris,

Whilst the increased woodland planting proposed in the revised scheme is welcome, there appears to be no further details regarding the wide range of other ecological benefits proposed within the original scheme that was granted planning consent and as referenced in my comment below. Further details relating to hedge restoration, pond reinstatement and other such improvements referenced in the approved plan should be included within the scheme as the biodiversity value of the current proposals is still well below that which was proposed in order to receive the original planning consent.

Many thanks,

Elspeth

From: Elspeth Ingleby Sent: 23 January 2019 11:01

To: Hilary Saunders; Christopher Knowles

Cc: Planning; Mark Antcliff

Subject: NYM/2018/0806/FL Fields Farm, Station Lane, Cloughton

Dear Hilary and Chris,

I agree with Mark's assessment that there is insufficient information provided to assess the likely benefits of the revised scheme.

It does appear however that much of the proposed ecological benefits, including restoring hedges and reinstating ponds, have been omitted. What has been proposed in terms of woodland is also less than originally proposed. The result of this is that the improvements that were intrinsic to the original approval have not been delivered, which could be considered a net biodiversity loss when considering the revised scheme

Many thanks,

Elspeth

Elspeth Ingleby

Ecologist

North York Moors National Park Authority The Old Vicarage, Bondgate, Helmsley, York YO62 5BP

Telephone: 01439 772700

Please note: I work 2 days per week on Ecology matters. My normal working pattern is Monday and Thursday.

From: Elspeth Ingleby

To: <u>Hilary Saunders</u>; <u>Christopher Knowles</u>

Cc: Planning; Mark Antcliff

Subject: NYM/2018/0806/FL Fields Farm, Station Lane, Cloughton

Date: 23 January 2019 11:00:32

Dear Hilary and Chris,

I agree with Mark's assessment that there is insufficient information provided to assess the likely benefits of the revised scheme.

It does appear however that much of the proposed ecological benefits, including restoring hedges and reinstating ponds, have been omitted. What has been proposed in terms of woodland is also less than originally proposed. The result of this is that the improvements that were intrinsic to the original approval have not been delivered, which could be considered a net biodiversity loss when considering the revised scheme

Many thanks,

Elspeth

Elspeth Ingleby

Ecologist

North York Moors National Park Authority The Old Vicarage, Bondgate, Helmsley, York YO62 5BP

Telephone: 01439 772700

Please note: I work 2 days per week on Ecology matters. My normal working pattern is Monday and Thursday.

From: Mark Antcliff
To: Planning
Cc: Elspeth Ingleby

Subject: NYM/2018/0806/FL Fields Farm, Station Lane, Cloughton

Date: 18 January 2019 16:18:49

NYM/2018/0806/FL Fields Farm, Station Lane, Cloughton

There are insufficient details supplied with this application to determine if the revised landscaping proposals will meet the objectives of the original approved scheme. I note from the original report to committee that the suitability of the landscaping scheme was a key consideration to recommending approval.

In my opinion the new application needs to provide a clear and detailed description of the works carried out to date and those that are proposed along with drawings and annotated photographs to demonstrate the benefits both visual and ecological that will be delivered. This appears to have been done for the original application and therefore it would be reasonable to see this process repeated here. Without this I can't see how it is possible to evaluate the application unless officers are prepared to make the assessment themselves.

Mark Antcliff Woodland Officer