

From:
To:
Subject: Fwd: Scan22052020-2.pdf
Date: 31 May 2020 11:39:20
Attachments: [Scan22052020-2.pdf](#)

Sea view farm NYM/2018/0770/FL

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: alexander peberdy
Date: 31 May 2020 at 11:33:53 BST
To: Alexander Peberdy

from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Alexander Peberdy
Date: 28 May 2020 at 11:33:33 BST
To: Kaye
Subject: Scan22052020-2.pdf

Sent from my iPhone

I sometimes feel that I agree with other residents in the High Normanby and Raw areas when I say that due to this application being allowed to be going backwards and forwards like this since its official retrospective first attempt within various forms, that this is quite possibly a foregone conclusion in favour of this application, mainly due to its proximity to the Town and the feeling also due to the proximity to the Woodsmith Mine, that it is of no importance to the National Park, indeed if this application was made on the outskirts of Goathland, for example, it simply would not have got this far, never mind be allowed to carry on trading as an industrial estate for the last two years!

The Design & Access Statement also quotes Design Policy 14: Tourism and Recreation. Mainly section 1 and 3. But to try to suggest a private industrial estate as this retrospective proposal is, a "Tourist and Recreational Asset", is seriously beyond a joke.

NYMNPA is now in a position to halt this unnecessary application which does nothing to enhance the National Parks image whatsoever, where these small businesses can be relocated without too much disruption, to the industrial units where they should be operating from and have the site returned to its original function or purpose, a type of function that would enhance the purpose of the Park and the amenities it can provide.

To have to put up with this industrial activity in an area of natural beauty, without any guidelines to speak of or restrictions to activity on a regular basis, is quite simply unacceptable and indeed negligent on the part of the local authority.

We can see, especially on an evening, and are effected by the Woodsmith Mine and to have this allowable to the other side of my business and home whilst putting up with additional industrial traffic would be very poor from the Officers of a National Park.

The very same officers that create the policies that preach about the Peace and Tranquility and the Quiet surroundings that the park aims to achieve. When will these values be applied to this application?

My home will be sandwiched between these two sites. When will I be able to say to people that where I live is a peaceful and tranquil place to live?.

For the reasons given Mr Hill, I categorically object to this application and any other application along these lines from the site subject to this proposal of over two years.

Yours Sincerely

A & K. A Peberdy

A Peberdy
Abbey View House
High Normanby
Whitby
North Yorkshire
YO22 4PR

Mark Hill
Head of Development Management
North Yorkshire National Park Authority
The Old Vicarage
Bondgate
Helmsley
York
YO62 5BP

Your Ref: NYM/2018/0770/FL

Our Ref: IND/EST/04

Date: 15 October 2019

Mr Hill,

In response to your letter and the application referred to above. This application is quite simply for unlicensed Industrial Estate. There is no need for this type of application on this site when there is no provision within the existing Industrial Estates at both the two within a couple of miles away at the stainsacre and the other at the Larpool sites.

The application states that the reason for the application was that Mr Lilley was approached by various business people due to loss of storage to the Sirius company requiring their facility. Why did they not look at the local industrial sites prior to asking Mr Lilley, who at the time didn't have the facility to accommodate them? Especially when there are empty units at the other 3 industrial sites? This in its self is an extremely poor reason for the application, which is simply not suited to this site.

There are unanswered questions from both yourself, County Highways. Yorkshire water clearly states that two of the proposed buildings, now clear completion, are built over the pipework which supplies both myself and other properties nearby stating that it is NOT acceptable. These buildings should be at least re-located and this quite clear it shows that there is at least negligent at best. Within this application when any research had been carried out to the site suitability.

There is at least some legal obligations to utility companies with regard to extent of buildings on this site as portrayed by Yorkshire water, being legal easements etc.

Environmental health also has concerns and are requesting reports and in their defence, this is due to the application not even being able to provide information as to how sewerage is to be dealt with! No reports are even addressed within the applications Design Access statement. Indeed any potential possibility of future contamination from this site naively brushed aside within the application itself! There is also NO regards to the SSSI, Where Natural England regard this application to have an impact highly likely.

There is only the Fish processing plant and storage facility for the clothing company proclaimed by the application, what about the other activities being carried out on the site?

Those activities may be carried out within the two buildings but what about the timber cutting. Chainsaws going all day. What about the metal fabrication and grinding going on too all day. These are being carried out in the storage containers and more containers have been delivered since. There should be nothing else going on according to the application itself. You yourself questioned this within your letter. Making comment about the number of containers already on site.

It is quite clear to myself that My Lilley intend to rent these additional containers out for goodness knows what and if this is how they behave whilst you are involved with the application, then what on earth will they be capable of if they have this application accepted?

IN CONCLUSION: The work has carried on and our business has suffered as a result due to the noise from radios, machinery etc. There is NO "Peace and Tranquillity" within the Yorkshire Moors here! Believe it or not the Scrap Yard was quieter. The traffic was less too. Highways has a right to be concerned as the traffic increase is worrying and our fear is that this application is passed, due to the same way this application is presented. Then the traffic will increase further and without concern for neighbouring properties and businesses that carry out the parks requirements and certainly without any concern towards the parks policies or indeed the North Yorkshire Moors National Park as a whole as long as there is money to be made.

Yours Sincerely

A & K. A Peberdy

From:
To: [Planning](#)
Subject: Fwd: Planning NYM/2018/0770/FL
Date: 28 January 2019 16:36:20

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Alex Peberdy
Date: 28 January 2019 at 16:06:19 GMT
To:
Subject: Planning NYM/2018/0770/FL

Dear Sir

We were taken aback when this planning application came through the door when it is already up and running and the fourth unit is almost complete. Fish processing unit is still working but every thing else has gone quiet probably while this application is being processed. We feel severely let down by the national park by allowing a full blown industrial estate in the middle of the park.

How will you be able to control noise levels like radio's grinding, chainsaw 's wood cutting normally happens Saturday & Sunday. The lane is already breaking down with the 44 ton log lorries delivering and 16 ton pallet lorries going to the fish plant. The removal company is up and down and drive far to fast on this small lane. What is the traffic going to be like with all four units rented and the containers all rented. We also have to look at scrap cars sitting on top of the containers what an eyesore. How will the waste from the fish plant be disposed of not on the fields I hope.

I feel this application is a total mockery of planning laws, when we wanted to convert a barn for my mother although it fitted all your criteria it took so long my mother died two days after planning was granted. I should have just built it as it doesn't seem to be a problem.

Let's look at your policies for the parks

Tranquility, strong feeling of remoteness, dark skies this development does not tick any of these boxes. There is a total disregard for the planning process as everything is retrospective and there is a continuance of construction whilst awaiting the result of this application

Yours Sincerely
A Peberdy
Abbey view house,High
Normanby,Whitby, North
Yorkshire, YO224PR

Sent from my iPhone