NYMNPA

14/08/2019



DRAINAGE MAINTENANCE AND MANAGEMENT PLAN

Whitby Seafoods New Ambient Store Fairfield Way Whitby

Reference

4613-1-JPG-XX-XX-RP-D-0620-S2-P01

Date

August 2019

Author

MDP





www.jpg.group



CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction	1
2.0	Sewers	1
3.0	Flow Control Chamber	2
4.0	Drainage Channels	2
5.0	Road Gullies	3
6.0	Detention Basins	3
7.0	Manholes/Access Chambers	4
8.0	Oil Separators	4
9.0	Health and Safety	5
10.0	Record History	5



1.0 INTRODUCTION

The surface water drainage system proposed as part of the works is shown on JPG drawing 4316-JPG-SW-XX-DR-C-1400. Pipe sizes and gradients are designed to be self-cleansing albeit regular maintenance and inspections are required to ensure the long-term efficiency of the systems.

All works should be undertaken by suitably qualified personnel and waste should be treated and removed by an appropriately registered company.

All drainage within the site boundary will remain private, Whitby Seafoods will be responsible for the maintenance and management of drainage system.

2.0 SEWERS

The main objective of maintenance document is to establish procedures to ensure the sewer system functions appropriately in the long term within an environment of fiscal control.

Maintenance includes:

-) Local repair or local replacement of damaged pipes or other structures in order to maintain the functioning of the sewer.
-) Cleaning and removal of sediments, obstructions etc. to restore hydraulic capacity.
-) Jetting/vacuum of sewers to be undertaken as often as necessary to remove silts and/or ordinary debris.
-) In the event that any extraordinary issues are encountered during an inspection, further information may be required such as a CCTV survey report.
- *J* Maintenance to be undertaken on a six monthly schedule.

To avoid damaging the pipe, PSI pressures need to be verified before jetting of plastic twin wall sewers. Cleaning of drainage systems may require the temporary sealing of the system and careful collection of the effluent for disposal off site.



3.0 FLOW CONTROL CHAMBER

The surface water drainage network has a discharge restriction of 3 litres per second.

Regular inspections of the flow control chamber should be carried out to ensure that debris that may obstruct the inlet to the flow control is not present. The frequency of inspection will depend on the location of the unit, it is recommended initial inspections should be on a 3 month basis for the first year of operation followed by a six monthly basis thereafter. The penstock should be checked and lubricated as necessary to ensure it will be operational should an emergency drain down be necessary.

In the event that the inlet to the control unit becomes blocked, the pivoting bypass door may be operated by pulling the wire rope attached upwards to drain down the chamber and provide access for maintenance.

As a secondary measure, a bypass pipe has been incorporated and fitted with a penstock over its inlet. The penstock is to be in the closed position and can be opened in the event of a failure within the flow control device. The lifting key for the penstock is to be fixed to the biscuit of the manhole within the chamber.

The location is shown on drawing number 4316-JPG-SW-XX-DR-C-1400.

4.0 DRAINAGE CHANNELS

The slot drain channels should be inspected regularly to ensure that the system continues to operate effectively and is free from damage and blockage by debris or solid objects.

The system should be cleaned at least once a year and incorporated into a planned maintenance schedule. The frequency of inspection and maintenance depends on the local environment and conditions.

Channel units can be cleaned through the use of a high-pressure hose. This can be fed into the system through access units.

The throat section of channel units should be kept clear at all times to ensure uninterrupted flow of surface runoff into the drainage channel. Any debris should be removed.

The seating areas for covers and grates should be cleaned before they are replaced. The covers and grates should be locked into position to prevent these being removed, stolen or dislodged by traffic. Locking bolts should be replaced and sufficiently tightened, taking care that the bolt heads do not stand above the top surface of the cover or grate. If grates/ covers are allowed to move within their frame, this may cause damage to the frame or seating.



5.0 ROAD GULLIES

Road gullies should be inspected regularly to ensure that the system continues to operate effectively and is free from damage and blockage by debris or solid objects.

The gullies should be cleaned at least once a year and incorporated into a planned maintenance schedule. The frequency of inspection and maintenance depends on the local environment and conditions.

The gully grating should be kept clear at all times to ensure uninterrupted flow of surface runoff into the gully. Any debris should be removed.

The seating areas for the grate should be cleaned before they are replaced. The covers and grates should be locked into position to prevent these being removed, stolen or dislodged by traffic.

6.0 DETENTION BASINS

Detention basins will require ongoing regular maintenance to ensure continuing operation to design performance standards. CIRIA's SUDS manual C753 Table 22.1 recommends the following maintenance regime for detention basins:

Maintenance Schedule	Required Action	Typical Frequency
	Remove litter and debris	Monthly, or as required
	Cut Grass – for spillways and access	Monthly (during growing
	routes	season), or as required
	Cut Grass – Meadow grass in and	Half yearly (spring – before
	around basin	nesting season, and autumn)
	Manage other vegetation and remove	Monthly (at start, then as
	nuisance plants	required)
	Inspect inlets, outlets and overflows for	Monthly
	blockages, and clear if required.	
	Inspect banksides, structures pipeworks	Monthly
Regular maintenance	etc for evidence of physical damage.	
	Inspect inlets and facility surface for silt	Monthly (for first year), then
	accumulation. Establish appropriate silt	annually or as required
	removal frequencies.	
	Check any penstocks and other	Annually
	mechanical devices	
	Tidy all dead growth before start of	Annually
	growing season	
	Remove sediment from inlets, outlets	Annually(or as required)
	ana forebay	
	Manage wetlana plants in outlet pool -	Annualiy
	Record grage of poor vegetation	As required
	growth	Astequired
	Prupe and trim any trees and remove	Even, 2 years, or as required
	cuttings	Every 2 years, or as required
Occasional maintenance	Romovo sodimont from inlats outlats	Even, 5 years or as required
	forebay and main basin when required	(likely to be minimal
		requirements where effective
		upstream source control is
		provided)
Remedial actions	Repair erosion or other damage by re-	As required



reseeding or turfing	
Realignment of rip-rap	As required
Repair / rehabilitation of inlets, outlets	As required
and overflows	
Relevel uneven surfaces and reinstate	As required
design levels	

7.0 MANHOLES/ACCESS CHAMBERS

All manhole covers should be lifted and the manholes visually inspected for silt, debris and signs of blockages within the drainage system. Check manhole covers and frames for damage and ensure correctly bolted together. This should be undertaken on a six monthly basis.

Should any debris or blockages be detected, the manholes should be cleaned along with associated pipe runs which should be high pressure jetted and CCTV surveyed to verify/identify that no further remedial works are required.

8.0 OIL SEPARATORS

There are 2 oil separators to be installed as part of the storm water drainage system. The locations are shown on drawing 4316-JPG-SW-XX-DR-C-1400.

In order to prevent pollution, the separators need to be regularly maintained. This should be carried out on a six-monthly basis by suitably qualified personnel.

Maintenance should be as follows:

-) Assess the depth of accumulated oil and silt.
-) Check the condition of any coalescing device and replace as necessary.
- J Service any electrical equipment i.e. alarms or management systems.
-) Physically inspect the integrity of the separator and any mechanical parts.

The separator should be emptied when oil/silt levels have significantly built up. All retained waste, including silt must be removed and the separator refilled with clean water. In the event of a spillage, the separator should be emptied. Emptying should be undertaken by a registered waste removal company, experienced in separator emptying.

Every five years, the separator should be emptied and given a general inspection to test its integrity and performance, after which the separator must be refilled with clean water.

A detailed log should be kept up when the separator has been inspected, maintained, emptied and serviced, along with records of any specific events relating to the system such as cleaning, spillages. Failure to carry out the maintenance may result in pollution of the environment.



9.0 HEALTH AND SAFETY

Employers shall, so far as is reasonably practical, provide and maintain systems of work that are safe and without risks to health. The systems of work shall cover all aspects of the works including above-ground operations (for example manhole location and traffic control), access to the sewer system and all operations in the confined space of the sewer system.

10.0 RECORD HISTORY

The site manager shall be responsible for recording and updating details of prior maintenance and operations, which can be made available for future reference.

The site manager shall also be responsible for scheduling future maintenance in accordance with the necessary requirements of each component, create a fixed timetable of routine checks and ensure that the maintenance is undertaken appropriately.

5 John Charles Way LEEDS LS12 6QA



www.jpg.group



SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS

Whitby Seafoods Whitby Business Park Faifield Way Whitby

Reference

4316-1-JPG-ZZ-00-RP-D-1400-S2-P01

Date

August 2019

Author

BT

5 John Charles Way LEEDS LS12 6QA



www.jpg.group



CONTENTS

- 1.0 Drainage Summary
- 2.0 Exceedance Plan
- 3.0 Simulation Results



1.0 DRAINAGE SUMMARY

The Surface Water drainage is designed to discharge to the existing surface water drainage on site, flows will be restricted to a rate of 31/s based on green field run off rates. The point of connection will be the existing manhole located within the existing development, this outfalls to the watercourse north of the development.

The calculated surface water attenuation volume required will be circa $300m^3$ for the 1 in 100 year event + 40% climate change.



G

EXCEEDANCE FLOW PATHS ------ EXISTING FW DRAINS /SEWERS ------ EXISTING SW DRAINS/SEWERS PROPOSED FW DRAINS/SEWERS PROPOSED SW DRAINS/SEWERS

O SRWP PROPOSED SYPHONIC DOWN PIPE SIZE & LOCATION TBC. BY OTHERS PROPOSED DRAINAGE CHANNEL SPECIFICATION AS NOTED ON PLAN LAYOUT PROPOSED ROAD GULLY + 62.500 EXISTING TIE IN LEVELS

+ 62.500 PROPOSED LEVELS

DO NOT SCALE NOTES

						-
P01	FIRST ISS	UE.		09.08.1	19	BT
REV	DESC	RIPTION		DAT	E	ΒY
Proje WHI WHI	ect TBY SE TBY	AFOODS,				
Drav EXC	ving Titl CEEDA	e ANCE PL/	AN			
W Arch	O R hitect	KIN	PRO	GRE	S	S
W Arch	OR nitect	KIN JJP w w w . j p adon Birmi			S	S
W Arch	OR nitect ds Lor	KIN JJC w w w . j p ndon Birmi Scale at A0	PRO g.grou ngham		S	S
W Arch Lee	OR nitect ds Lor nject Ref 16	K I N	PRO g.grou ngham Date AUG'19	GRE JP Checked MDP	S	5 rawn BT

SIMULATION RESULTS

5 John Charles Way LEEDS LS12 6QA

www.jpg.group

JPG (Leeds) Limited		Page 1
5 John Charles Way	Whitby Seafoods	
Leeds	Whitby	<u> </u>
LS12 6QA	Rev 1	Micco
Date 09/08/2019	Designed by BT	
File	Checked by	Digitigh
XP Solutions	Network 2017.1.2	

STORM SEWER DESIGN by the Modified Rational Method

<u>Network Design Table for Storm</u>

« - Indicates pipe capacity < flow

PN	Length	Fall	Slope	I.Area	T.E.	Ba	ase	k	HYD	DIA	Section Type	Auto
	(m)	(m)	(1:X)	(ha)	(mins)	Flow	(l/s)	(mm)	SECT	(mm)		Design
1.000	40.000	0.400	100.0	0.040	4.00		0.0	0.600	0	225	Pipe/Conduit.	Δ
1.001	36.300	0.240	151.3	0.027	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	225	Pipe/Conduit	ĕ
2.000	7.000	0.100	70.0	0.127	5.00		0.0	0.600	0	225	Pipe/Conduit	•
1.002	32.200	0.214	150.5	0.070	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	225	Pipe/Conduit	8
1.003	89.000	0.281	316.7	0.000	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	300	Pipe/Conduit	ŏ
3.000	55.700	0.368	151.4	0.080	4.00		0.0	0.600	0	225	Pipe/Conduit	۵
4.000	6.400	0.303	21.1	0.031	4.00		0.0	0.600	0	150	Pipe/Conduit	•
3.001	11.000	0.042	261.9	0.000	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	225	Pipe/Conduit	•
1.004	20.000	0.080	250.0	0.000	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	300	Pipe/Conduit	_
1.005	9.500	0.040	237.5	0.040	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	300	Pipe/Conduit	ě
1.006	14.000	0.140	100.0	0.000	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	150	Pipe/Conduit	ŏ

Network Results Table

PN	Rain (mm/hr)	T.C. (mins)	US/IL (m)	Σ I.Area (ha)	Σ Base Flow (l/s)	Foul (l/s)	Add Flow (1/s)	Vel (m/s)	Cap (1/s)	Flow (l/s)
1.000 1.001	50.00 49.41	4.51 5.08	62.590 62.190	0.040 0.067	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.31 1.06	52.0 42.2	5.4 9.0
2.000	49.43	5.07	62.050	0.127	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.57	62.2	17.0
1.002 1.003	47.42 41.94	5.58 7.27	61.950 61.661	0.264 0.264	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.06 0.88	42.3 62.1	33.9 33.9
3.000	50.00	4.88	61.790	0.080	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.06	42.2	10.8
4.000	50.00	4.05	61.800	0.031	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.20	38.9	4.2
3.001	49.31	5.10	61.422	0.111	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.80	31.9	14.8
1.004 1.005 1.006	41.02 40.61 40.01	7.61 7.77 8.00	61.380 61.300 61.260	0.375 0.415 0.415	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.99 1.02 1.00	70.0 71.8 17.8«	41.7 45.6 45.6

JPG (Leeds) Limited		Page 2
5 John Charles Way	Whitby Seafoods	
Leeds	Whitby	<u> </u>
LS12 6QA	Rev 1	Micco
Date 09/08/2019	Designed by BT	
File	Checked by	Diamaye
XP Solutions	Network 2017.1.2	

Free Flowing Outfall Details for Storm

Outfall Pipe Number	Outfall Name	C. Level (m)	Ι.	Level (m)	Ι.	Min Level (m)	D,L (mm)	W (mm)
1.006		62.000	6	51.120		0.000	0	0

<u>Simulation Criteria for Storm</u>

Volumetric Runoff Coeff	0.750	Additional Flow - % of Total Flow	0.000
Areal Reduction Factor	1.000	MADD Factor * 10m³/ha Storage	2.000
Hot Start (mins)	0	Inlet Coeffiecient	0.800
Hot Start Level (mm)	0	Flow per Person per Day (l/per/day)	0.000
Manhole Headloss Coeff (Global)	0.500	Run Time (mins)	60
Foul Sewage per hectare (l/s)	0.000	Output Interval (mins)	1

Number of Input Hydrographs 0 Number of Storage Structures 1 Number of Online Controls 1 Number of Time/Area Diagrams 0 Number of Offline Controls 0 Number of Real Time Controls 0

Synthetic Rainfall Details

Rainfall Model	FSR	Profile	Type Summer
Return Period (years)	1	Cv (Sum	mer) 0.750
Region	England and Wales	Cv (Win	ter) 0.840
M5-60 (mm)	19.100	Storm Duration (m	ins) 30
Ratio R	0.362		

JPG (Leeds) Limited			Page 3
5 John Charles Way	Whitby Seafood	ls	
Leeds	Whitby		4
LS12 6QA	Rev 1		, in the second
 Date 09/08/2019	Designed by B	Г	
File	Checked by	_	Drainage
XP Solutions	Network 2017	1 2	
	NCCWOIX 2017.	1.2	
<u>Online</u> Hydro-Brake® Optimum Manh	Controls for S	<u>torm</u> 1.006, Volume	(m³): 2.6
Uni	t Reference MD-SHE	-0075-3000-1500-3	3000
Desi	gn Head (m)	1	.500
Design	Flow (l/s)	Calqui	3.0
	Objective Minin	nise upstream sto	rage
	Application	Suri	ace
Sum	p Available		Yes
Di	ameter (mm)	61	75
Minimum Outlet Pipe Di	ameter (mm)	01	100
Suggested Manhole Di	ameter (mm)	-	200
Control P	oints Head (m) Flow (l/s)	
Design Point (0	Calculated) 1.5	00 3.0	
	Flush-Flo TM 0.3	29 2.6	
	Kick-Flo® 0.6	71 2.1	
Mean HIOW Over	10000 100000	- / 4	
	neau kange	2.1	
The hydrological calculations have Hydro-Brake® Optimum as specified. Hydro-Brake Optimum® be utilised th invalidated	been based on the Should another ty en these storage r	Head/Discharge re rpe of control dev couting calculatio	elationship for the vice other than a ons will be
The hydrological calculations have Hydro-Brake® Optimum as specified. Hydro-Brake Optimum® be utilised th invalidated Depth (m) Flow (1/s) Depth (m) Flo	been based on the Should another ty en these storage r ww (l/s) Depth (m)	Head/Discharge re ope of control dev couting calculation Flow (1/s) Depth	elationship for the vice other than a ons will be n (m) Flow (1/s)
The hydrological calculations have Hydro-Brake® Optimum as specified. Hydro-Brake Optimum® be utilised th invalidated Depth (m) Flow (1/s) Depth (m) Flo 0.100 2.1 1.200	been based on the Should another ty en these storage r ww (1/s) Depth (m) 2.7 3.000	Head/Discharge represented from the second device of control device outling calculation flow (1/s) Depth 4.1	elationship for the vice other than a ons will be (m) Flow (1/s)
The hydrological calculations have Hydro-Brake® Optimum as specified. Hydro-Brake Optimum® be utilised the invalidated Depth (m) Flow (1/s) Depth (m) Flow 0.100 2.1 1.200 0.200 2.5 1.400 0.200 2.6 1.600	been based on the Should another ty en these storage r ww (1/s) Depth (m) 2.7 3.000 2.9 3.500	Head/Discharge re rpe of control dev couting calculation Flow (1/s) Depth 4.1 4.4	elationship for the vice other than a ons will be a (m) Flow (1/s) 2.000 6.1 2.500 6.3 0.000 6.5
The hydrological calculations have Hydro-Brake® Optimum as specified. Hydro-Brake Optimum® be utilised th invalidated Depth (m) Flow (1/s) Depth (m) Flo 0.100 2.1 1.200 0.200 2.5 1.400 0.300 2.6 1.600 0.400 2.6 1.800	been based on the Should another ty en these storage r w (1/s) Depth (m) 2.7 3.000 2.9 3.500 3.1 4.000 3.3 4.500	Head/Discharge re repe of control dev couting calculation Flow (1/s) Depth 4.1 4.4 4.7 5.0	elationship for the vice other than a ons will be (m) Flow (1/s) (.000 6.1 (.500 6.3 (.000 6.5 (.500 6.7)
Inclusion from Over The hydrological calculations have Hydro-Brake® Optimum® be utilised th Invalidated Depth (m) Flow (1/s) Depth (m) Flow (1/s) Depth (m) Flow (1/s) Depth (m) Flow (1/s) 0.100 2.1 0.200 2.5 1.400 0.300 2.6 0.400 2.6 0.500 2.5	Depth (m) been based on the Should another ty en these storage r ww (1/s) Depth (m) 2.7 3.000 2.9 3.500 3.1 4.000 3.3 4.500 3.4 5.000	Head/Discharge re repe of control dev couting calculation Flow (1/s) Depth 4.1 4.4 4.7 5.0 5.2 9	elationship for the vice other than a ons will be (m) Flow (1/s) 7.000 6.1 7.500 6.3 8.000 6.5 8.500 6.7 9.000 6.9
Incluit Flow Over The hydrological calculations have Hydro-Brake® Optimum as specified. Hydro-Brake Optimum® be utilised th invalidated Depth (m) Flow (1/s) Depth (m) Flow (1/s) Depth (m) Flow (1/s) 0.100 2.1 0.200 2.5 1.400 0.300 2.6 1.600 0.400 2.6 0.500 2.5 2.000 0.600 2.3	Dead Range been based on the Should another ty en these storage r ow (1/s) Depth (m) 2.7 3.000 2.9 3.500 3.1 4.000 3.3 4.500 3.4 5.000 3.6 5.500	Head/Discharge re rpe of control dev couting calculation Flow (1/s) Depth 4.1 4.4 4.7 5.0 5.2 5.5	elationship for the vice other than a ons will be (m) Flow (1/s) (2.000 6.1 (2.500 6.3) (3.000 6.5) (3.500 6.7) (3.000 6.9) (3.500 7.1)
Incluit Flow Over The hydrological calculations have Hydro-Brake® Optimum as specified. Hydro-Brake Optimum® be utilised th invalidated Depth (m) Flow (1/s) Depth (m) Flow (1/s) Depth (m) Flow (1/s) Depth (m) Flow (1/s) 0.100 2.1 0.200 2.5 1.400 0.300 2.6 1.600 0.400 2.6 1.800 0.500 2.5 2.000 0.600 2.3 2.200 0.800 2.2 2.400	Dead Range been based on the Should another ty en these storage r ow (1/s) Depth (m) 2.7 3.000 3.1 4.000 3.1 4.500 3.4 5.000 3.6 5.500 3.7 6.000	Head/Discharge re rpe of control dev couting calculation Flow (1/s) Depth 4.1 4.4 4.7 5.0 5.2 5.5 5.7	elationship for the vice other than a ons will be (m) Flow (1/s) (2.000 6.1 (2.500 6.3) (3.000 6.5) (3.500 6.7) (3.500 6.7) (3.500 6.9) (3.500 7.1)
The hydrological calculations have Hydro-Brake® Optimum as specified. Hydro-Brake Optimum® be utilised th invalidated Depth (m) Flow (1/s) Depth (m) Flow 0.100 2.1 0.200 2.5 1.400 0.300 2.6 1.600 0.400 2.6 0.500 2.5 2.000 0.600 2.3 2.200 0.800 2.2 2.400 1.000 2.5	Detail (m) Should another ty en these storage r Dw Depth (m) 2.7 3.000 2.9 3.500 3.1 4.000 3.3 4.500 3.4 5.000 3.7 6.000 3.9 6.500	Head/Discharge re rpe of control dev couting calculation Flow (1/s) Depth 4.1 4.4 4.7 5.0 5.2 5.5 5.7 5.9	elationship for the vice other than a ons will be (m) Flow (1/s) (000 6.1 (500 6.3 (000 6.5 (500 6.7 (000 6.9 (000 6.9 (000 7.1)
Inclusion from Order The hydrological calculations have Hydro-Brake@ Optimum® be utilised the invalidated Depth (m) Flow (1/s) Depth (m) Flow 0.100 2.1 1.200 0.200 2.5 1.400 0.300 2.6 1.600 0.400 2.6 1.800 0.500 2.5 2.000 0.600 2.3 2.200 0.800 2.2 2.400 1.000 2.5 2.600	Nead Kange been based on the Should another ty en these storage r OW (1/s) Depth (m) 2.7 3.000 3.1 4.000 3.3 4.500 3.4 5.000 3.7 6.000 3.9 6.500	Head/Discharge reproduced for the second device of control device the second device of the se	elationship for the vice other than a ons will be (m) Flow (1/s) (.000 6.1 (.500 6.3 (.000 6.5 (.500 6.7 (.000 6.9 (.500 7.1)

JPG (Leeds) Limited		Page 4
5 John Charles Way	Whitby Seafoods	
Leeds	Whitby	<u> </u>
LS12 6QA	Rev 1	Micco
Date 09/08/2019	Designed by BT	
File	Checked by	Digiliada
XP Solutions	Network 2017.1.2	

Storage Structures for Storm

Tank or Pond Manhole: 10, DS/PN: 1.005

Invert Level (m) 61.300

Depth (m) Area (m²) Depth (m) Area (m²) Depth (m) Area (m²)

0.000	0.0	0.001	78.0	1.800	400.0

JPG (Leeds) Limited					I	age 5
5 John Charles Way		Whitby S	Seafood	S	L L	
Leeds		Whitby				
LS12 6QA		Rev 1				Mirro
Date 09/08/2019		Designed	d by BT			Nrainane
File		Checked	by	-		bianiage
XP Solutions		Network	2017.1	.2		
<u>l year Return Period</u>	Summary o	<u>f Critica</u> <u>for Sto</u>	<u>l Resul</u> orm	ts by Maxim	um Level	(Rank 1)
Areal Reduc Hot S Hot Start Manhole Headloss Coe Foul Sewage per he	tion Factor tart (mins) Level (mm) ff (Global) ctare (l/s)	1.000 Ad 0 0.500 Flow 0.000	ditional MADD Fa	Flow - % of J actor * 10m³/k Inlet Coe son per Day (1	Total Flow na Storage effiecient L/per/day)	0.000 2.000 0.800 0.000
Number of S Number of Number of	Input Hydrog Online Con Offline Con	raphs 0 Nur trols 1 Nur trols 0 Nur	nber of S nber of T nber of F	Storage Struct Sime/Area Diag Real Time Cont	ures 1 rams 0 rols 0	
Rainfa	<u>Synth</u> all Model Region En 5-60 (mm)	etic Rainfa gland and W 19	FSR FSR Jales Cv 0.000 Cv	<u>ls</u> Ratio R 0.36 (Summer) 0.75 (Winter) 0.84	4 0 0	
Margin for Fl	ood Risk War Analysis D D	ning (mm) Timestep 2 MTS Status NVD Status	2.5 Secon	nd Increment (300.0 Extended) ON ON	
ק	Inert	ia Status		Summer	ON	ar
Duration(Return Period(s	s) (mins)	15, 30, 6 720, 96	50, 120, 50, 1440,	180, 240, 360 2160, 2880, 7200,	480, 600 4320, 5760 8640, 1008 1, 30, 10),), 30
Climate C	hange (%)				0, 0, 4	10
US/MH PN Name Storm	Return Clim Period Char	ate Firs nge Surcl	t (X) narge	First (Y) Flood	First (Z) Overflow	Overflow Act.
1.000 1 15 Winter 1.001 2 15 Winter 2.000 3 15 Winter 1.002 4 15 Winter 1.003 5 15 Winter 3.000 6 15 Winter 4.000 7 15 Summer 3.001 8 240 Winter 1.004 9 240 Winter 1.005 10 240 Winter 1.006 11 240 Winter	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	+0% 100/15 +0% 30/15 +0% 30/15 +0% 30/15 +0% 30/15 +0% 30/120 +0% 30/15 +0% 30/15 +0% 30/15 +0% 1/60 +0% 1/15	Summer 1 Summer 1 Summer 1 Summer Winter Summer Summer Winter Summer	LOO/15 Summer		
	©1982	2-2017 XP	Solutio	ons		

JPG (Leeds) Limited		Page 6
5 John Charles Way	Whitby Seafoods	
Leeds	Whitby	L'
LS12 6QA	Rev 1	Micco
Date 09/08/2019	Designed by BT	
File	Checked by	Diamatje
XP Solutions	Network 2017.1.2	

<u>1 year Return Period Summary of Critical Results by Maximum Level (Rank 1)</u> <u>for Storm</u>

		Water	Surcharged	Flooded			Pipe		
	US/MH	Level	Depth	Volume	Flow /	Overflow	Flow		Level
PN	Name	(m)	(m)	(m³)	Cap.	(1/s)	(l/s)	Status	Exceeded
1.000	1	62.640	-0.175	0.000	0.11		5.4	OK	4
1.001	2	62.260	-0.155	0.000	0.21		8.2	OK	
2.000	3	62.144	-0.131	0.000	0.36		16.2	OK	4
1.002	4	62.103	-0.072	0.000	0.78		31.1	OK	
1.003	5	61.815	-0.146	0.000	0.47		28.3	OK	
3.000	6	61.869	-0.146	0.000	0.25		10.3	OK	
4.000	7	61.836	-0.114	0.000	0.13		4.3	OK	
3.001	8	61.643	-0.004	0.000	0.11		3.0	OK	
1.004	9	61.642	-0.038	0.000	0.15		9.4	OK	
1.005	10	61.639	0.039	0.000	0.10		5.4	SURCHARGED	
1.006	11	61.688	0.278	0.000	0.16		2.6	SURCHARGED	

JPG (Leeds) Limited				Pag	e 7
5 John Charles Way	ν	Nhitby Seafoo	ds	5	
Leeds	ν	Thitby		4	~
LS12 6QA	F	Rev 1		Mi	
Date 09/08/2019	I	Designed by B	Т	Dr	ainano
File	C	Checked by			
XP Solutions	N	letwork 2017.	1.2		
<u>30 year Return Period</u>	Summary of	Critical Rest for Storm	<u>llts by Maxir</u>	num Level (<u>Rank 1)</u>
Areal Reduc Hot S Hot Start Manhole Headloss Coe Foul Sewage per he	ttion Factor 1. ttart (mins) Level (mm) ff (Global) 0. ctare (l/s) 0.	000 Additiona 0 MADD 0 500 Flow per Pe 000	l Flow - % of Factor * 10m³/ Inlet Co erson per Day (Total Flow 0. ha Storage 2. effiecient 0. l/per/day) 0.	.000 .000 .800 .000
Number of Number o Number of	Input Hydrograp f Online Contro Offline Contro	ohs 0 Number of ols 1 Number of ols 0 Number of	Storage Struct Time/Area Diag Real Time Cont	ures 1 grams 0 crols 0	
Rainf	<u>Synthet:</u> all Model Region Engla 5-60 (mm)	<u>IC Rainfall Deta</u> FSR and and Wales C ^v 19.000 C ^v	Ratio R 0.36 v (Summer) 0.75 v (Winter) 0.84	54 50 40	
Margin for Fl	ood Risk Warnir Analysis Ti DTS DVD Inertia	ng (mm) imestep 2.5 Seco Status Status Status	ond Increment (300.0 (Extended) ON ON ON	
P Duration(Return Period(s Climate C	rofile(s) s) (mins) 1) (years) hange (%)	15, 30, 60, 120, 720, 960, 1440	Summer , 180, 240, 360), 2160, 2880, 7200,	and Winter 4320, 5760, 8640, 10080 1, 30, 100 0, 0, 40	
US/MH PN Name Storm	Return Climate Period Change	First (X) Surcharge	First (Y) Flood	First (Z) Ov Overflow	verflow Act.
1.000 1 15 Winter 1.001 2 15 Winter 2.000 3 15 Winter 1.002 4 15 Winter 1.003 5 360 Winter 3.000 6 360 Winter 3.000 7 360 Winter 3.001 8 360 Winter 1.005 10 360 Winter 1.006 11 360 Winter	$\begin{array}{c} 30 \\ 30 \\ +05 \\ 30 \\ +05 \\ 30 \\ +05 \\ 30 \\ +05 \\ 30 \\ +05 \\ 30 \\ +05 \\ 30 \\ +05 \\ 30 \\ +05 \\ 30 \\ +05 \end{array}$	<pre>100/15 Summer 30/15 Summer 30/15 Summer 30/15 Summer 30/15 Summer 30/10 Winter 30/15 Summer 30/15 Summer 1/60 Winter 1/15 Summer</pre>	100/15 Summer 100/15 Summer		
	©1982-2	017 XP Solut:	ions		

JPG (Leeds) Limited		Page 8
5 John Charles Way	Whitby Seafoods	
Leeds	Whitby	<u> </u>
LS12 6QA	Rev 1	Micco
Date 09/08/2019	Designed by BT	
File	Checked by	Diamaye
XP Solutions	Network 2017.1.2	

<u>30 year Return Period Summary of Critical Results by Maximum Level (Rank 1)</u> <u>for Storm</u>

		Water	Surcharged	Flooded			Pipe		
	US/MH	Level	Depth	Volume	Flow /	Overflow	Flow		Level
PN	Name	(m)	(m)	(m³)	Cap.	(1/s)	(l/s)	Status	Exceeded
1.000	1	62.669	-0.146	0.000	0.27		13.3	OK	4
1.001	2	62.629	0.214	0.000	0.46		18.4	SURCHARGED	
2.000	3	62.682	0.407	0.000	0.79		35.0	SURCHARGED	4
1.002	4	62.588	0.413	0.000	1.66		65.9	SURCHARGED	
1.003	5	62.105	0.144	0.000	0.20		12.2	SURCHARGED	
3.000	6	62.104	0.089	0.000	0.09		3.8	SURCHARGED	
4.000	7	62.103	0.153	0.000	0.04		1.5	SURCHARGED	
3.001	8	62.102	0.455	0.000	0.18		4.8	SURCHARGED	
1.004	9	62.101	0.421	0.000	0.26		15.7	SURCHARGED	
1.005	10	62.099	0.499	0.000	0.06		3.2	SURCHARGED	
1.006	11	62.109	0.699	0.000	0.16		2.6	SURCHARGED	

JPG (Le	eds)	Limited						Page 9
5 John	Charl	es Way		WI	nitby Seafoo	ds		
Leeds				W	nitby			L.
LS12 6Q	A			Re	ev 1			Mirro
Date 09	/08/2	019		De	esigned by B	Т		Desinado
File				Cl	necked by			Dialitacje
XP Solu	tions			Ne	etwork 2017.	1.2		
<u>100 y</u> e	ear Re	eturn Per	iod Sum	<u>mary of</u> <u>1)</u> Simul	Critical Ref for Storm ation Criteria	esults by Ma	ximum Le	vel (Rank
		Hot S	Start (m	ins)	0 MADD	Factor * 10m ³ /	'ha Storag	e 2.000
Man F	hole H 'oul Se	Hot Star eadloss Coe wage per he	t Level eff (Glo ectare ((mm) bal) 0.5 l/s) 0.0	0 00 Flow per Pe 00	Inlet Co erson per Day (oeffiecien (l/per/day	t 0.800) 0.000
		Number of Number o Number of	Input Hy f Online Offline	vdrograph Control Control	ns 0 Number of Ls 1 Number of Ls 0 Number of	Storage Struc Time/Area Dia Real Time Con	tures 1 grams 0 trols 0	
		Rainf	all Mode	el	FSR	Ratio R 0.3	64	
		Μ	Regio 15-60 (mn	on Engla: n)	nd and Wales C [.] 19.000 C [.]	v (Summer) 0.7 v (Winter) 0.8	50 40	
	Ma	rgin for Fl	.ood Risl	k Warning	g (mm)		300.0)
		5	Ana	lysis Tir	mestep 2.5 Sec	ond Increment	(Extended)	
				DTS S	Status		ON	J
				Inertia S	Status		ON ON	1
		H Duration	Profile((s) (min	s) s) 1!	5, 30, 60, 120, 720, 960, 1440	Summe: , 180, 240, 360), 2160, 2880,	r and Wint 0, 480, 60 4320, 576	er 0, 50,
	Retu	rn Period(s	s) (year:	s)		7200,	8640, 100 1, 30, 1	80 .00
		CIIMALE (inange (6)			0, 0,	40
PN	US/MH Name	Storm	Return Period	Climate Change	First (X) Surcharge	First (Y) Flood	First (Z Overflow) Overflow Act.
1.000	1	15 Winter	100	+40%	100/15 Summer	100/15 Summer		
1.001	2 3	15 Winter 15 Winter	100	+40% +40%	30/15 Summer	100/15 Summer		
1.002	4	15 Winter	100	+40%	30/15 Summer	200, 10 Dummer		
1.003	5	600 Winter	100	+40%	30/15 Summer			
3.000	6 7	600 Winter	100	+40% +40%	30/120 Winter			
3.001	8	600 Winter	100	+40%	30/15 Summer			
1.004	9	600 Winter	100	+40%	30/15 Summer			
1.005	10 11	600 Winter	100	+40% +40%	1/60 Winter			
1.000	11	000 Willeer	100	1-10-0	1/10 Dummer			
				1000 00	17 VD Calat	iona		
			C	1302-20	II AF SOLUT	LOUS		

JPG (Leeds) Limited		Page 10
5 John Charles Way	Whitby Seafoods	
Leeds	Whitby	<u>Y</u>
LS12 6QA	Rev 1	Micro
Date 09/08/2019	Designed by BT	
File	Checked by	Digitigh
XP Solutions	Network 2017.1.2	

100 year Return Period Summary of Critical Results by Maximum Level (Rank <u>1) for Storm</u>

		Water	Surcharged	Flooded			Pipe		
	US/MH	Level	Depth	Volume	Flow /	Overflow	Flow		Level
PN	Name	(m)	(m)	(m³)	Cap.	(1/s)	(l/s)	Status	Exceeded
1.000	1	63.503	0.688	2.966	0.47		23.0	FLOOD	4
1.001	2	63.610	1.195	0.000	0.65		26.0	FLOOD RISK	
2.000	3	63.554	1.279	3.866	1.05		46.7	FLOOD	4
1.002	4	63.546	1.371	0.000	2.26		89.8	FLOOD RISK	
1.003	5	62.617	0.656	0.000	0.23		13.9	SURCHARGED	
3.000	6	62.616	0.601	0.000	0.11		4.3	FLOOD RISK	
4.000	7	62.614	0.664	0.000	0.05		1.6	FLOOD RISK	
3.001	8	62.614	0.967	0.000	0.21		5.8	SURCHARGED	
1.004	9	62.613	0.933	0.000	0.32		19.6	SURCHARGED	
1.005	10	62.610	1.010	0.000	0.09		4.7	SURCHARGED	
1.006	11	62.622	1.212	0.000	0.17		2.9	SURCHARGED	

Town and Country Planning Act 1990 North York Moors National Park Authority

Notice of Decision of Planning Authority on Application for Permission to Carry out Development

- To: Whitby Seafoods Ltd c/o Celsius Consulting Ltd
- fao: Mr Simon Banks Carrwood Park Swillington Common Farm Selby Road Leeds LS15 4LG

The above named Authority being the Planning Authority for the purposes of your application validated 14 June 2018, in respect of proposed development for the purposes of construction of warehouse and plant room extensions together with extension to and resurfacing of existing car park, internal access roadway and turning head, reinforced skip area, associated regrading of land and landscaping works together with installation of 2 no. flues to existing building at Whitby Seafoods Ltd, Fairfield Way, Whitby, has considered your application and has granted permission for the proposed development subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The development hereby permitted shall be commenced before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.
- 2. The development hereby permitted shall not be carried out other than in strict accordance with the following documents:

Document Description Location plan Proposed site masterplan Proposed internal layout Proposed factory layout Proposed elevations Site levels Land drainage plans Document No. 10002/A/150/005 A1 10002/A/150/003 A1 Rev B 10002/A/150/004 A1 Rev B 10002/A/100/002 A1 Rev B 10002/A/140/024 A1 Rev B 10002/A/120/001A1

Date Received 6 June 2018

6 June 2018 6 June 2018 6 June 2018 6 June 2018 28 June 2018 5 September 2018

or in accordance with any minor variation thereof that may be approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority

- 3. The premises shall not be used other than as a warehouse and plant room and shall not be used for any other purpose (including any other purpose in Class B1, B2 or B8 of the Schedule to the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 2010 or in any provision equivalent to that Class in any statutory instrument revoking and re-enacting that Order, or within Schedule 2, Part 3, Classes A-V of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015 (or any order revoking and reenacting that Order).
- 4. The external surfaces of the building hereby permitted shall, within three months of first being brought into use, be coloured and thereafter maintained the colours as specified in approved drawing no. 10002/A/140/002 A1 Rev B or as may otherwise be agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority.

Mr C M France Director of Planning Continued overleaf/Conditions

Date 0 7 SEP 2018

Please Note your Rights of Appeal are attached to this Decision Notice

NOW.

Continuation of Decision No. NYM/2018/0375/FL

- 5. No work shall commence on excavation works to install drainage to serve the development hereby permitted until full details of a scheme detailing foul and surface water drainage has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The scheme shall detail phasing of the development and phasing of drainage provision, where appropriate. Principles of sustainable urban drainage shall be employed wherever possible. The works shall be implemented in accordance with the approved phasing. No part or phase of the development shall be brought into use until the drainage works approved for that part or phase has been completed.
- 6. No work shall commence on excavation works to install drainage to serve the development hereby permitted until a scheme restricting the rate of development flow runoff from the site has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The flowrate from the site shall be restricted to a maximum flowrate of 3 litres per second for up to the 1 in 100 year event. A 40% allowance shall be included for climate change effects for the lifetime of the development. Storage shall be provided to accommodate the minimum 1 in 100 year plus climate change critical storm event (345m3). The scheme shall include a detailed maintenance and management regime for the storage facility. No part of the development shall be brought into use until the development flow restriction works comprising the approved scheme has been completed. The approved maintenance and management scheme shall be implemented throughout the lifetime of the development.
- 7. The development shall not commence on the construction of the car park until a scheme, detailing the treatment of all surface water flows from parking areas and hardstanding through the use of road side gullies, oil interceptors, reed beds or alternative treatment systems, has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Use of the parking areas/hardstanding shall not commence until the works comprising the approved treatment scheme have been completed. Roof water shall not pass through the treatment scheme. Treatment shall take place prior to discharge from the treatment scheme. The treatment scheme shall be retained, maintained to ensure efficient working and used throughout the lifetime of the development.
- 8. No work shall commence on excavation works to install drainage until an appropriate Exceedance Flow Plan for the site has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Site design must be such that when SuDS features fail or are exceeded, exceedance flows do not cause flooding of properties on or off site. This is achieved by designing suitable ground exceedance or flood pathways. Runoff must be completely contained within the drainage system (including areas designed to hold or convey water) for all events up to a 1 in 30 year event. The design of the site must ensure that flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100 year rainfall event are managed in exceedance routes that avoid risk to people and property both on and off site.
- 9. All hard and soft landscape works comprised in the approved details of landscaping shall be carried out no later than the first planting and seeding seasons following the occupation of the buildings or the completion of the development, whichever is the sooner, or in accordance with a programme agreed by the Local Planning Authority. Any trees or plants planted in accordance with this condition which, within a period of five years from the completion of the development, die, are removed or become seriously damaged or diseased shall be replaced in the current or next planting season with others of similar size and species unless the Local Planning Authority gives written consent to any variation.

Mr C M France Director of Planning

Date 0 7 SEP 2010

Continued overleaf/Conditions

Continuation of Decision No. NYM/2018/0375/FL

10. No work shall commence to clear the site in preparation for the development hereby permitted until full details of the hardsurfacing to be utilised on the site have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, including a timetable to implement the proposed works. The hard landscaping works shall then be implemented in accordance with the approved details. The hard landscaping shall be maintained in perpetuity unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reasons for Conditions

- 1. To ensure compliance with Sections 91 to 94 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended.
- 2. For the avoidance of doubt and to ensure that the details of the development comply with the provisions of NYM Core Policy A and NYM Development Policy 3, which seek to conserve and enhance the special qualities of the NYM National Park.
- 3. In order to enable the Local Planning Authority to retain control over future changes of use to the property which would otherwise be permitted by the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 2010 (or in any provision equivalent to that Class in any statutory instrument revoking and re-enacting that Order) or the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015 (or any order revoking and re-enacting that Order), and to comply with the provisions of NYM Core Policy A and NYM Development Policy 3, which seek to enhance and conserve the special qualities of the NYM National Park and ensure that development does not have an adverse effect on the amenities of adjoining occupiers.
- 4. For the avoidance of doubt and in order to comply with the provisions of NYM Core Policy A and NYM Development Policy 3 which seek to ensure that building materials are of a high quality and compatible with the character of the locality and that the special qualities of the National Park are safeguarded.
- 5 & 6. In order to comply with the provisions of NYM Development Policy 2 to ensure the provision of adequate and sustainable means of drainage in the interests of amenity and flood risk.
- 7 & 8. To avoid pollution of watercourses and to comply with the provisions of NYM Development Policy 1, which seeks to ensure that new development has satisfactory provision for the disposal of foul and surface water.
- 9. In order to comply with the provisions of NYM Development Policy 3 which seeks to ensure that new development incorporates a landscaping scheme which is appropriate to the character of the locality and retains important existing features.
- 10. In the interests of the satisfactory appearance of the development and in order to comply with the provisions of NYM Development Policy 3 which seeks to ensure that development proposals incorporate suitable hard landscaping details.

Explanation of how the Authority has Worked Positively with the Applicant/Agent

The Authority's Officers have appraised the scheme against the Development Plan and other material considerations and confirmed to the applicant/agent that the development is likely to improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area.

Mr C M France Director of Planning

0 7 SEP 2010, Date

Rights of Appeal

(1) If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the Local Planning Authority to refuse permission or approval for the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, they may appeal to the Secretary of State of Department of Communities and Local Government in accordance with Section 78 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, within six months of the date of this notice (12 weeks in the case of a minor commercial application). The Secretary of State can allow a longer period for giving notice of an appeal but will not normally be prepared to use this power unless there are special circumstances which excuse the delay in giving notice of appeal. The Secretary of State need not consider an appeal if it seems to the Secretary of State that the Local Planning Authority could not have granted planning permission for the proposed development or could not have granted it without the conditions they imposed, having regard to the statutory requirements, to the provisions of any development order and to any directions given under a development order.

In practice, the Secretary of State does not refuse to consider appeals solely because the Local Planning Authority based their decision on a direction given by him.

(2) If permission to develop land is refused, or granted subject to conditions, whether by the Local Planning Authority or by the Secretary of State, the owner of the land may claim that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, he may serve on the council of the county/district in which the land is situated a purchase notice requiring that council to purchase his interest in the land in accordance with the provisions of Part VI of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

Note: If an aggrieved applicant wishes to exercise their right of appeal as above mentioned, they should do so using a form which you can get from the Secretary of State at:

Temple Quay House, 2 The Square, Temple Quay, Bristol, BS1 6PN (Tel: 0303 444 00 00) or online at <u>www.planningportal.gov.uk/planning/appeals</u>

Notes

- 1. Please note, only the applicant possesses the right of appeal.
- 2. No consent, permission or approval hereby given absolves the applicant from the necessity of obtaining the approval, under the Building Regulations, of the District Council in whose area the site of the proposed Development is situated; or of obtaining approval under any other Bye-Laws, local Acts, orders, regulations and statutory provisions in force; and no part of the proposed development should be commenced until such further approval has been obtained.
- 3. In your own interests your attention is particularly drawn to the conditions under which approval has been given to your proposals. Failure to comply fully with the conditions could lead to enforcement action resulting in work already done being demolished or prosecution in Magistrates' Court.
- 4. If this is a decision on a planning application relating to the same or substantially the same land and development as is already the subject of an enforcement notice, if you want to appeal against your Local Planning Authority's decision on your application, then you must do so within 28 days of the date of this notice.
- 5. If an enforcement notice is served relating to the same or substantially the same land and development as in your application and if you want to appeal against your Local Planning Authority's decision on your application, then you must do so within: 28 days of the date of service of the enforcement notice, or within 6 months (12 weeks in the case of a householder appeal) of the date of this notice, whichever period expires earlier.