

Members Update Sheet

Item 1 **NYM/2019/0769/TN**

Additional Background Information

The North Yorkshire County Council (NYCC) Mobile Coverage Officer has advised that the reason ICNIRP have not completed studies in the 868/870 Mhz may be that the further down the spectrum you go the less effect the frequency will have. The mobile phone operators have been using the 800 Mhz since it was available in 2013 and to date there have been no negative reports. As it moves into the 400 Mhz spectrum area for the smart meter installation there is less likelihood of any issues. The lower end spectrum has been used for radio and television for decades. As 5G is deployed the 3.6 Ghz – 3.8 Ghz spectrum will be used in dense urban areas with the 700Mhz spectrum being deployed in rural areas. The reasons being is that the 3.6 – 3.8 Ghz frequency does not travel very far but it has greater data capacity, as opposed to the 700Mhz which can travel further but it will have less capacity.

The NYCC Officer has also referred to a recent article published by the BBC, which sets out the general consensus on the subject relating to 5G.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-europe-48616174>

Others - 13/01/2020 – Roy Frankland , 2 Feversham Road, Helmsley – Object. There is an existing antenna site at the entrance to Ashdale Wood which was installed due to previous refusals. This new application would not be in keeping with the residential area. If this pole were to be erected, could it be added to without further address. The development could cause a blight to the surrounding properties effecting value together with serious health, safety, environmental and security concerns. There are also discrepancies in the heights shown on the plans. Would change of use be required from a telephone exchange to a telephone exchange and metering station?

As the exchange is located at the southern boundary of my garden I completely object to the present and any further proposals for any alterations or additions internally or externally to the building or site, which would provide health, safety and visual issues or any proposals that may affect equipment in the surrounding residential properties, i.e. TV and radio equipment or health machinery, let alone the detrimental effect it could have on the health of the surrounding residents together with the damning effect on property values.

13/01/2020 – Simon Winstanley, 36 Orchard Way, Helmsley – Object. Have read a little on the potentially damaging effects of radio frequency radiation and that smart meters radiate considerably more than other electronic devices. The general public are not in any position to challenge these issues but the burgeoning level of health problems and reliance on medication should be indication enough that all is not well. We are having to ensure the worst excesses of an unregulated free market economy that appears to be wholly supported by successive governments and is one that places major emphasis on profit and appears to ignore any injurious characteristics.

14/01/2020 – Gill Hardacre, Primary Director, The Ryedale Federation, Kirkbymoorside CP School – Object. The School consider that the mast is too close to Helmsley Community Primary School. We ask that other suitable sites be considered as we are concerned there could be health issues or perceived health issues, it being so close to the School.

Item 2 NYM/2019/0431/FL

This planning application has been removed from the Planning Committee Agenda due to an objection having been received from North Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Service, on the basis that until sufficient information has been submitted to determine if adequate access and facilities for the fire service can be met, there may be an unacceptable life risk due to people sleeping in the portacabin. This issue needs to be resolved before the application is considered by the Planning Committee.

Item 3 NYM/2018/0653/FL

14/1/2020 - Ebberston with Yedingham Parish Council (which includes Bickley) (adjacent Parish Council) – Object. Have only just been made aware of this planning application and feel that the architecture and size of this building is not suitable for a rural area in the National Park. It is totally overwhelming for a small village. The road infrastructure is not suitable for the increase in traffic this venue is likely to cause. The roads are narrow and twisty and not suitable for large vehicles including coaches which seem to appear on the plans.

The Parish Council has had very little time to consider these plans in full but would like their opposition to the plans to be considered.

Item 4 NYM/2019/0393/FL

Please note that Condition 23 is in effect a duplicate of Condition 6 and therefore can be removed.

For clarity the full wording on Condition 6 should read as follows:

6. This permission has been granted in accordance with the details specified in the survey prepared by RO Birdsall of BHD Partnership received on 03 July 2017, The Geotechnical report from GeoInvestigate received on 09 October 2019 and the proposed Structural Details shown on D11549-05 Rev A received on 06 December 2019. More extensive works of demolition and rebuilding that does not accord with these details may render the permission invalid and may require a further grant of planning permission from the Local Planning Authority.