From:

Planning To:

Comments on NYM/2020/0225/LB - Case Officer Mrs J Bastow - Received from Building Conservation at The Old Vicarage, Bondgate, Helmsley, York, YO62 5BP, Subject:

Date: 27 July 2020 19:21:20

The revisions are in improvement and something I feel better able to support.

Can planning please include an informative to make clear that notwithstanding these plans the porch shown on elevation 5 on the west elevation remains unauthorised.

Comments made by Building Conservation of The Old Vicarage Bondgate Helmsley York YO62 5BP

Preferred Method of Contact is: Post

Comment Type is Comment Letter ID: 548459

From:

To: Planning

Subject: Comments on NYM/2020/0225/LB - Case Officer Mrs J Bastow - Received from Building Conservation at The

Old Vicarage, Bondgate, Helmsley, York, YO62 5BP,

Date: 20 April 2020 18:38:39

The submitted drawings appear inconsistent. For example elevation 1 shows 1 camera, whereas two are indicated in plan.

During a recent enquiry a number of unauthorised works were identified, the most relevant to this application are a number of security lights, and alarm boxes. Although these are small additions they remain unauthorised and as a whole can result in cumulative impact. Historic England Advises that cumulative impact of incremental small scale changes may have as great effect on the significance of a heritage asset as a larger scale change.

Further to this given that these cameras have infrared vision up to 30m. I do not see the need to have so many – so close.

Whilst I appreciate that that applicant has tried to place them close to down pipes to minimise their impact would be less if away from the principal dwelling as much as possible. I raise no objection to the one proposed for the timber building. But I raise concerns about the number proposed to the principal building, especially when multiple cameras are proposed for a single elevation.

Following correspondence with the agent the Building Conservation department were under the impression that an application to regularise and remove some of the unauthorised developments at the site would likely be forthcoming. Can the applicant please advise if this is still the case?

Comments made by Building Conservation of The Old Vicarage Bondgate Helmsley York YO62 5BP

Preferred Method of Contact is: Post

Comment Type is Raise Concerns Letter ID: 542552 From: Elspeth Ingleby
To: Planning

Subject: NYM/2020/0225/LB - Foxhill Paddocks, Throxenby

Date: 23 April 2020 12:16:52

If application NYM/2020/0225/LB - Foxhill Paddocks, Throxenby is consented, please can a bat informative be included with the decision notice.

Many thanks

Elspeth

Elspeth Ingleby MA_{Cantab} ACIEEM Ecologist

North York Moors National Park Authority The Old Vicarage, Bondgate, Helmsley, York YO62 5BP