
From: Samuels, Angela
Sent: 29 May 2020 15:46
To: Rob Smith
Cc: Staniland, Robert; Hickey, Steven
Subject: Woodsmith Phase 12

Good afternoon Rob

Further to your queries raised with regard to the Phase 12 conditions discharge submission, please find below response.

For each query, the NYMNPA request is presented in black text and the Anglo American response is presented in blue text.

1. It would be helpful if a representative cross-section/s could be provided to demonstrate the potential for visibility of the upper part of the proposed segregated materials storage building from views from B1416 to the west of the site. Whilst it is appreciated that the building is likely to be screened from some views by the temporary stockpile of excavated materials to the west of the location of the proposed building, an inspection of the site suggests there could be potential for filtered views to be available particularly of the south western part of the building. In this respect it is noted that the submission references the potential for a 1 metre increase in the height of the environmental fence and the effect of such a modification could be demonstrated via the suggested cross-section/s.

Please see the images which have been provided through the folder 'Phase 12 Conditions Discharge Images' within the dropbox shared with the National Park. From the images provided it is clear that the materials storage building ridge height is the same level as the road and, shielded by the trees, will not be visible from the B1416 or from the higher ground to the west.

2. Related to the above, it would be helpful if you could clarify the expected requirements for external lighting of the building. The location is in an area of the site that is currently relatively dark at night, and located in relatively close proximity to the woodland edge outside the operational boundary to the east. It will be particularly important to ensure that any external lighting used in association is carefully located to minimise light intrusion, including on the woodland fringe, and that it complies with current guidance on (eg BCT) on bats and lighting.

Lighting of the external area of the building will be as low as is safe and practicable for the works, with the approach to lighting following that used for the rest of the site. This lighting will be set up in accordance with the commitments made through the PSMP for bats and will be subject to the same regular lighting audits as the rest of the site.

3. With regard to the proposed laydown/storage area for segments/tubbing, it is my understanding that activity in this area would not be on a 24 hour basis. However, please could you confirm the proposed operational hours for this area. Similarly it would be helpful if more detail the control of lighting in this area could be provided.

We can confirm that the core operating hours will be between 07:00 and 19:00, though this may be reasonably extended during longer daylight hours, in line with works carried out across the site. Lighting will be necessary for the safe operation of the area, but any such lighting will be positioned in accordance with the commitments set out in response to 2) and will also be subject to regular lighting audits.

4. It is understood that, if containerised storage of materials is utilised in this area, containers would only be single stacked but please could you confirm this. It is also understood that a fork-lift vehicle would be utilised for handing of materials, with no requirement for a crane. Again your confirmation of this is requested.

Containers will be single stacked. The facility will be operated by a forklift vehicle and, as such, there is no requirement for a gantry crane in this location only. A small jib crane will be necessary in this area on a temporary basis to ensure safe lifting, but will only be 'jib up' occasionally and only when in use. The remainder of the time, the jib will be lowered.

5. Is there a need for additional external lighting around the entrance area to the welfare facilities in association with the proposed entry turnstiles and, if so, please provide more detail of the expected requirements?

There is no immediate requirement for additional lighting for the main welfare entry turnstiles. The need for lighting will be kept under review and if deemed necessary for site safety, limited additional lighting may be used. Where this is necessary, the lighting will be to the same specification as used in other access areas on site, will be subject to the regular lighting audits and would not be expected to be noticeable in the context of the overall site setting.

I hope that the above answers your queries adequately and that you are now in a position to approval this phase. If you require anything further, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Best regards

Angela Samuels
Planning Officer
Woodsmith Project



CROP NUTRIENTS
Resolution House, Lake View, Scarborough YO11 3ZB
United Kingdom

T

www.uk.angloamerican.com

A member of the Anglo American plc group

CONFIDENTIALITY CAUTION: This email (including any attachments) is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed and may contain confidential information and be protected by legal privilege. Please notify us immediately by return email if you have received this email in error and delete this email and any attachments. If you are not the intended recipient, dissemination, disclosure and use of any information herein is prohibited. PRIVACY NOTICE: Anglo American processes personal data in accordance with applicable data privacy laws. For more information please see our [Privacy Policy](#).