Good Morning,

Answers below from Hawsker cum Stainsacre on planning applications, some of these are not showing on the online portal so will send them this way:

NYM/2020/0524/FL - Application for change of use of land to form manege at Highfield, High Hawsker - The Council do not object to the use of land as a manage however concerns have been raised about the drainage of the land, this is not shown on the plans, drainage towards the cinder track would be inappropriate due to the regular flooding along the track.

NYM/2020/0628/FL - Application for removal of condition 3 of planning approval NYM4/033/0160A/PA to sever the tie of the stable block with No 1 Prospect Field at Highfield, High Hawsker - No objection provided it continues to be for recreation and not for commercial purposes or livery.

NYM/2020/0641/FL - Application for demolition of existing single storey garage/workshop and replacement with two storey garage/workshop at Jubilee House, Hall Farm Road, Low Hawsker - No Objections

NYM/2020/0479/FL (Amendments) - Application for alterations, construction of single storey rear extension following demolition of existing conservatory, together with construction of rear dormer window and installation of solar panels to front elevation at 7 Hall Farm Road, Low Hawsker - Council continue to object to this application, previous reasons still stand.

Kind regards,

Clerk, Hawsker cum Stainsacre Parish Council

From:	
То:	<u>Planning</u>
Subject:	Thornton le Dale Parish Council - Consultation Feedback - Various
Date:	07 October 2020 09:04:05
Importance:	High

Hi

Please can you process the following feedback from Thornton le Dale Parish Council in regard to recent planning and tree notice consultations received:

NYM20/0751/FL – Extension to the rear of 10 The Mount TLD – NO OBJECTIONS NYM20/0671/WTCA – High Hall Hurrell Lane – no objections – work is needed and long overdue NYM20/0715/WTCA – 1 Brookfield Gardens – No objections NYM/2020/0772/WTCA and NYM/2020/0776/WTCA – No objections – damage has been caused during recent high winds

**Item NYM2020/0628/FL** – Land to the rear of Brookfield, Maltongate – remains to be contentious with objections from the PC and local residents. Parish Council note the content of the recent Heritage Assessment, however, they wish to reiterate that they still feel that the development proposals are too large for the scale of the site and significant issues still remain with access and parking.

Please can you ensure this consultation feedback is recorded as appropriate.

Thanks and regards

Emma

EMMA DOUGLAS-SMITH (Ms) Clerk & Responsible Financial Officer Thornton le Dale Parish Council PO BOX 92 PICKERING YO18 9AT

*Please note the Clerk works part-time 15 hrs per week, normally over a 3 or 4 day period Mon* – *Thurs. All messages will be dealt with at the earliest opportunity, in line with work-load and priorities.* 

This email has been scanned by BullGuard antivirus protection. For more info visit <u>www.bullguard.com</u>

From:	
To:	<u>Planning</u>
Subject:	Comments on NYM/2019/0628/FL - Case Officer Mrs H Saunders - Received from Building Conservation at The Old Vicarage, Bondgate, Helmsley, York, YO62 5BP,
Date:	08 October 2020 14:45:06

The revised Heritage Assessment

Understanding significance of a heritage asses it crucial to assessing any proposal for change, this is true whether the site is designated or not. This is reinforced through national planning policy and the onus to do this resides with the applicant. This should be no more than is sufficient to understand the potential impact of the proposal on the significance of the asset. Paragraph 189 if the NPPF states that "As a minimum the relevant historic environment record should have been consulted". Historic England have two current documents that consider how we assess significance Statements of Heritage Significance (HEAN12) and Conservation Principles.

Much of the Historic Environment Record (HER) for the North York Moors National Park is freely available online and data can also be sent to agents if required. It would appear that the agent has not consulted this record, as it does not discuss the history mentioned in the HER, nor make any reference to the HER throughout the document. HEAN12 states that 'if the reference (HER entry) is relevant to the heritage asset or is potentially affected it should be mentioned [in the Heritage Statement]'.

The revised heritage assessment focuses on the impact of the development by assessing the intervisibility between the site and the nearby designated heritage assets. This is useful information for the setting of these assets, but without the assessing the historical interest of the actual site is it impossible to assess the significance of the site and the impact of proposal, and so in that sense it is not fit for purpose. The report does not discuss the significance of the site and the contribution is makes to the Conservation Area. There is no consideration of the historical development of the site in the medieval and post medieval periods. The report refers to the space as 'cleared land' and 'left over space'; I can appreciate how the site in its current condition can 'read' as that, but this interpretation does not appear to take into account any historical research of the narrative of the site which is so fundamental to assessing the impact of the proposed infilling of an open space in an area where known street patterns and plot layouts related to the mediaeval period.

I advised the applicant to get a thorough heritage statement to consider the significance of the site and offer a balanced view. This appraisal appears an assessment of impact based on the site having no significance without any explanation of assessment as to why that is the case. Instead it considers the setting only of nearby designated heritage assets and does not offer that impartial advice I suggested the applicant sought. As it stands there is no information contained in this that will enable to me support the application.

Comments made by Building Conservation of The Old Vicarage Bondgate Helmsley York YO62 5BP

Preferred Method of Contact is: Post

Comment Type is Request Additional Information Letter ID: 552323 From:To:PlanningSubject:Applications for considerationDate:09 September 2020 15:48:53

Hawsker cum Stainsacre Parish Council will not be considering the following planning applications till the 1st Wednesday in October: NYM/2020/0628/FL NYM/2020/0574/FL NYM/2020/0524/FL

Kind regards,

Steph Glasby

Clerk to Hawsker cum Stainsacre Parish Council