

From:
To: [Planning](#)
Subject: Comments on NYM/2020/0814/FL - Case Officer Mrs J Bastow - Received from Mr Andrew Rodger at 11,, dale view, Stainsacre, YO22 4NS
Date: 01 November 2020 12:40:09

Just a few issues,

- firstly if you check google maps then you would see the tree cover on the plot has changed
- The applicant says they are targeting walkers and cyclists, this is catered for by the sighting of caravans in the back of the windmill, they used the same argument to get planning for that.
- It would have very little knock on affect to pubs, shops, laundry, cleaners etc, as the village has one pub and no other business covering those mentioned reside in the village
- On the planning application it says -It requires extensions to be clearly subservient to the main part of the building and that it should not increase the total habitable floor space by more than 30% unless there are compelling planning considerations in favour of a larger extension
- This application, that refers back to the previous application, so I feel I have the right to comment, exceeds that, I would suggest the operative word here is compelling? I would say there is nothing compelling about it
- Parking in the village is at a premium and has been made significantly worse by the approval of planning applications by NYM in the past, i refer to the planning application for the windmill inn, when highways recommend refusal and made quite clear of both the damage to verges and the narrowness of the lane, as time has come to pass there forethought has proven right, there is damage to verges and due to the amount of vehicles it has become a one way due to parking, it is just a matter of time before there is an accident
- it clearly States in the Highway Code, motorists should not park “opposite or within 10 metres (32 feet) of a junction, except in an authorised parking space.
- I am concerned as the applicant has 3 vehicles, and should not park on the road on a junction, how yet another vehicle would be accommodated ?
- In front of the houses we have a grassed area, where the local kids play on bikes, safe area for them get out of the way of traffic, it is sometimes used by residents to park a car now and again, however I am worried that this space will become a bog due to the parking from the pub sometimes now with this application, I believe this will become the normal parking for guests,/workmen both in the construction phase and onwards due to the lack of parking in the village
- I have concerns around drainage to neighbouring properties, the applicant has said a permeable drive for the extra car, whilst still retaining a front garden, how with the number of cars the applicant has and with more, I don't see how that would be accommodated in the space, together with the loss of garden cover
- As you can see on the original application photos, there is a silver car parked on a blind bend half on and half on the verge, it used to be a bylaw that parking on verges, could be a £100 fine, I don't know, if that extends to the village but it was the case in whitby, sadly never enforced as far as I know.
- Whilst NYM have a vision for the park, the residents views and opinions should be part of that vision, something NYM needs to address, perhaps more outreach?
- As you have approved the initial building, the change of use to holiday let from personal or dependant relative, is a step too far and should be refused

Comments made by Mr Andrew Rodger of 11,, dale view, Stainsacre, YO22 4NS

Comment Type is Refuse