

**Subject:**

FW: FW: NYM2020/0990/FL Wardles Farm, Egton

**From:** PAUL STEVENSON**Sent:** 05 September 2021 19:16**To:** Mark Hill >**Subject:** Re: NYM2020/0990/FL Wardles Farm, Egton

Dear Mr Hill,

In replying to your email about addressing concerns to the 4 letters of objection to our planning application. My replies to these letters will also cover any other letters from the Parish Council etc. Before replying to the letters I wish to point out that I used to farm over 300 acres and because of ill health have reduced this to just ten percent, I retain the old farmyard and some land where we were and quite a lot of my equipment is still stored there.

We bought Wardles Farm, now Oaks Hill Farm, to semi retire to and so I could continue my hobby of repairing tractors and machinery and do off site repairs. The farm has two large general purpose agricultural buildings, these were in a poor state of repair when we bought the place, so it was decided to replace the old cladding with olive green steel sheets, and clad in one side that was previously open. I didn't believe I needed planning permission for this. I was later informed by a National Parks officer that I would need permission because the buildings are in the conservation area. This was later found out to be untrue. I still therefore don't believe I need permission to repair damaged sheets.

I would also like to make it quite clear, the previous owner was told to site the buildings where they are, by the National Park Authority.

The other thing I wish to say about these letters, is that the people writing them have been fed misinformation and untruths from one source. I have my business address registered at my home, but I also work out of my van, my other buildings on the old farm and also at JW. Mortimer LTD further up the village.

I do admit there was some extra noise when the work was carried out on the buildings, but since then I have done my usual work, with virtually no noise at all and I hope this has allayed the fears of the complainants. I can understand why some of the letter writers have got a bit concerned after being fed misinformation that this would be the same sort of business as JW Mortimers at the top of the village. Liz Carter's photographs are very misleading, they were taken of my tractors when they were out of one of the buildings while work was carried out in that building, also they are all parked on our land. My solicitor has said that these photos are of our private land and should be taken down, I was informed that they had been, but I see today this is not the case. This compromises our safety and security and I request they are removed immediately.

Replying to Mr Fosters letter.

If you are going to live in the country you are going to get agricultural noises, the comments about these sort of sheets not being used locally, there is a large shed about 200 yards below our buildings finished in the same sheets, as is Godbolds foundry at the top of the village. His comments about the new sheets having a negative effect looking from up the valley. I struggle to actually see the buildings now coming in from Goathland, whereas before they were an eyesore.

Mr and Mrs Webster's letter.

This letter is full of misinformation, first off we have had to reverse large stone lorries in during construction work, however this didn't hold traffic up at all. The comments about the water pipes leaking. Firstly the road belongs to us, so it's no concern of theirs, secondly, these shallow lead pipes had leaked for years, we have recently had Yorkshire Water out to deepen and replace the pipes with plastic and to put

some new stone on our road. Their comments about where our sewage goes is absolutely none of their concern, numbers 14 to 20 on their letter is totally irrelevant. I feel the Webster's have been fed the same misinformation about me and my business as well as how I operate it as everyone else and hopefully the fact I have been working 9 months with hardly any traffic or noise etc should avert their concerns.

The letter from CJ Sismey,

Basically everything in this letter is misinformation that has been spread about me, I can see this person's point if I was operating like JW Mortimers up the village, but again it's rumours misfed information and Chinese whispers. However access into our own farmyard, down our own road is no one else's business. The fact the previous owner retired from farming due to ill health, doesn't mean that this isn't still a farm yard. I would like to point out that I am not a newcomer to the area, my old farm was ten miles away and I have frequented Egton a lot this last 30 years.

The letters from Mrs Harper.

The comments about the building being at least 18" higher and also longer that it was, is There has been absolutely no change to the footprint of the buildings as the enforcement officers found out on their visit. The comments about a security light, this is a 70w sodium light pointing down lighting up part of the farmyard.

Regarding work done late, on weekends and fumes etc, . I dispute this, also there is much more noise that we have to put up with until midnight from this person's patio.

The rest about the building being an eyesore, most of the other people I have spoken to in the village say it looks much better, but they are entitled to their opinion.

However her other objections about noise etc have been unfounded because I contacted Scarborough Borough Council in June and they said they had not received any complaints, so hopefully any fears she may have had have been alayed.

The letter from Mr and Mrs Carter.

As you are aware there has been from the Carter's to ourselves, the police being involved on numerous occasions, including Mrs Carter

Part 1, pure speculation as I do a lot of my work at the old farm.

Part 2, the sodium light points at the ground and comes on when dark. The Carter's have led lights that shine directly onto our property.

Part 3

Part 4 Another .

Part 5 Absolute fiction throughout.

Part 6 Mrs O'Brien doesn't exist, however this lady owns the land her oil tank is on so another

Part 7. Is because the Carter's realising our house name hadn't been registered for 32 years registered their house under that name with the council. However the majority of part 7 is again, we had to wait weeks for the Council to accept our new name.

This same Mrs Carter, when finding out we were planning a b&b said, I will make sure no one comes back, I asked why? The reply was, because I can! She has already when it was a holiday cottage.

I do know that all the objectors have been approached by the Carter's with the misinformation that if the planning was rejected, the buildings would be taken down. Whilst it is not the case, it would certainly give people incentive to write, as it would myself.

All I can say is all their fears are unfounded as I'm sure yourselves and the Borough Council would have been inundated with complaints. There is more noise round here from a lawnmower than my farm buildings.

Regards

Paul Stevenson

Sent via BT Email App



**North York Moors**  
National Park

CONFIDENTIALITY: The contents of this message are the views of the author, not necessarily the views of the North York Moors National Park Authority. This is a private message intended for the named addressee(s) only. Its contents may be confidential.

If you have received this message in error please reply to say so and then delete the message. Any use, copying, disclosure or distribution by anyone other than the addressee is forbidden.

[www.northyorkmoors.org.uk](http://www.northyorkmoors.org.uk)

---

This email has been scanned for email related threats and delivered safely by Mimecast.

For more information please visit <http://www.mimecast.com>

---