

From:
To: [Planning](#)
Subject: Comments on NYM/2021/0947/FL - Case Officer Mrs Hilary Saunders - Received from Mr Ian Woodcock at Dale View, Egton, Whitby, United Kingdom, YO21 1TZ
Date: 18 February 2022 14:36:45

We continue to be fully supportive of this project. There remains one concern, as previously raised, that drawing 60004 still shows four exterior lights angled towards our property. This is an issue we had with the slaughter house operator and we wish to avoid it being repeated. Can it be confirmed what the purpose of the lights is? Are they motion activated security lights and if so what will stop local wildlife and neighbouring cats from repeatedly setting them off?

Regards,

IT Woodcock & Dr EC Marsh
Dale View
Egton

Comments made by Mr Ian Woodcock of Dale View, Egton, Whitby, United Kingdom, YO21 1TZ

Preferred Method of Contact is Email

Comment Type is Strong Support/Approve with Conditions

Comments submitted in respect of application NYM/2021/0947/FL

**Conversion of abattoir to offices
High Street
Egton**

Submitted on behalf of The Mulgrave Estate

MULGRAVE
— ESTATE —

January 2022

Comments on application NYM/2021/0947/FL, High Street, Egton

- 1 These comments are submitted on behalf of the Mulgrave Estate in respect of an application to convert a former slaughterhouse/abattoir into offices, at High Street Egton. Mulgrave Estate is an adjacent landowner, and these comments are made in that capacity.

Principle

- 2 The Mulgrave Estate has no objections to the principle of the conversion to office in this location. In fact the estate supports a new and beneficial use for these buildings which would appear to be a more suitable use given the proximity of the surrounding residential uses.

Detail

3. The submitted plans (elevations and floor plans) show a (fire) door located in the north-eastern corner of the main building - on the eastern elevation. This door appears to open out onto land that is outside of the application red line boundary but also that is within the ownership of the Mulgrave Estate. There is no existing right of access onto land owned by the Mulgrave Estate nor is it likely that the Mulgrave Estate would grant such an access. The Estate has a current planning application (NYM/2021/1005/FL) for a new residential dwelling on land to the east of the application site. The issue of the door has been highlighted to the agent for this application by the Mulgrave Estate.
4. There appears to be some minor discrepancies between the submitted existing floor plan and the existing eastern elevations. The floor plan shows a window to the north of the above mentioned, fire door but this is not shown on the existing elevations. It is shown on the proposed floorplan and elevations. This needs clarification. The eastern elevation is the elevation that faces towards the Mulgrave Estate owned land.
5. The application red line boundary includes another building to the south and east of the application site which adjoins the Mulgrave Estate owned land and is close to the proposed new residential dwelling which is the subject of the current Mulgrave application. The submitted plans do not appear to include any proposed changes to this building – in that there are no floor plans or elevations. It is therefore slightly unclear whether any changes to this building are proposed either in terms of alteration or change of use. It would be useful if the application documentation could clarify what is proposed for this building.
6. The application red line boundary does not appear to extend all the way to the public highway. It appears that in order to access the site additional land needs to be crossed. This is not in the ownership of the Mulgrave Estate but is believed to be in third party ownership and therefore may need clarifying to see if access can be achieved.

From:
To:
Subject: Comments on NYM/2021/0947/FL - Case Officer Mrs Hilary Saunders - Received from Mr Tom Davies at STONELEIGH, HIGH STREET, WHITBY, United Kingdom, YO21 1TZ
Date: 22 December 2021 18:48:27

Being the Owner of the Adjacent property (Stoneleigh) I have no objections to the proposed works being carried out.

The Slaughter house was in need of complete renovation, and these proposed plans would turn an eye sore into a decent looking building, that will benefit the local community.

I Endorse Mr Stainforth Plans.

Comments made by Mr Tom Davies of STONELEIGH, HIGH STREET, WHITBY, United Kingdom, YO21 1TZ

Preferred Method of Contact is Email

Comment Type is Comment

From:

To:

Subject: Comments on NYM/2021/0947/FL - Case Officer Mrs Hilary Saunders - Received from Mr Ian Woodcock at Dale View, Egton, Whitby, United Kingdom, YO21 1TZ

Date: 22 December 2021 12:00:46

Planning application comments - Egton Abattoir

Application - NYM/2021/0947/FL

Overall, the plans look to be a very good upgrade for an abandoned building, and we fully support the change of use and the proposed plans. We have four specific concerns:

1. The site boundaries on the drawings are in the wrong place, they are shown in our garden (Dale View), especially the west elevation on drawing 10003. The building walls are built on the boundary which runs in the centre of them, not the edge as shown. A party wall agreement will need to be in place before any work is conducted on walls forming part of the boundary between Dale View and the Abattoir.
2. Concerned that the new structures in place of the old stores will damage the roots of our mature trees. This would also apply to any removal of current hard standing beneath the canopy area.
3. The floodlights shown on drawing 60004 will shine directly into our windows (this is a problem we had when it was running as a slaughterhouse). The angling of the lights and mitigation steps needs careful consideration. Is it intended they are on all of the time?
4. The physical security of our boundaries must be maintained by the applicant during any work to ensure that the garden remains dog safe.

Ian Woodcock & Elizabeth Marsh

Dale View

Egton

YO21 1TZ

Comments made by Mr Ian Woodcock of Dale View, Egton, Whitby, United Kingdom, YO21 1TZ

Comment Type is Strong Support/Approve with Conditions