
From: Elspeth Ingleby
Sent: 10 June 2022 16:11
To: Hilary Saunders

>; Mark Antcliff

Subject: RE: NYM/2022/0151 - Cloughton Woods

Thank you Hilary, yes this is the what I was looking for!

The revised Habitat Management Plan sets out a good strategy for securing and maintaining biodiversity improvements within the site and particularly the 'nature zone', and therefore I would be happy for the condition to be discharged if Mark is also in agreement.

Best wishes

Elspeth

Elspeth Ingleby ACIEEM
Senior Ecologist

Conservation & Climate Change Department
North York Moors National Park Authority

From: Miles Johnson >

Sent: 28 March 2022 15:39

To: Hilary Saunders

Subject: FW: DUE TODAY NYM/2022/0151 - land at Cloughton Woods, Cloughton

Hi Hilary,

Nick forwarded me this one. I'm not fully familiar with this application (and not sure if it's been released), but I can see there is a WSI for a watching brief in the supporting information file. The WSI (from MAP Archaeological Consultancy) looks reasonable as a programme of proposed works. So unless there was anything else you thought comments were needed on, I am happy to proceed on that basis.

Kind Regards,

Miles

Miles Johnson

Head of Historic Environment

North York Moors National Park Authority
The Old Vicarage
Bondgate

Helmsley
York
YO62 5BP

www.northyorkmoors.org.uk

From: Elspeth Ingleby

Sent: 23 March 2022 12:23

To: Hilary Saunders

; Mark Antcliff

Cc: Planning

Subject: RE: DUE TODAY NYM/2022/0151 - land at Cloughton Woods, Cloughton

Hi Hilary

These are the notes comments on that I sent to Mark on 14 January which appear to still be relevant on the basis of the survey submitted;

Badger survey (provided under separate cover)

The content of the report is generally ok although I noted that the report does not include a statement on the skills and qualification of the consultant who undertook the work to demonstrate that they are suitably qualified to carry out the assessment, as is required under CIEEM standards for ecological reporting, so it would be necessary for this to be amended prior to submission for the CVC. The survey was done in August and states field assessments are only valid for 6 months (after which a further walkover is required to check no new setts/old setts haven't been reopened) - I would anticipate that a further assessment may be required before they start work just to check still valid.

I would suggest that a condition of any approval/discharge is that a revised walkover survey for signs of changes in badger activity is required.

The documents as sent on 19 January will have been before Mark and the agent/applicant had a site visit and they agreed to a number of the comments and suggestions made by ourselves so I would presume that some amendment of the documentation would be required to approve the CVC? Mark have you heard anything further about this?

Thanks

Elspeth