

From:
To: [Planning](#)
Subject: NYM/2021/0999/FL revised application for an extension to the cafe on the Quarterdeck Robin Hoods Bay for Mr.Kemp
Date: 13 June 2022 09:21:37

For the attention of Ms H. Saunders

Dear Hilary

Having read the newest revised application and the attached correspondence I feel I need to respond on two counts.

First of all - the latest revised application. I have received concerns that the expansion enlarges the cafe width wise across the Quarterdeck making the cafe far more prominent.

I object to the expansion of the cafe width wise because I agree that it will make it more prominent and dominant as the extension in the new revised plan will add over 50% to the length plus there will be an extension of the gabions by roughly the same amount so this will impact even more.

11a(2) of the National Parks and Access To The Countryside Act that says “if it appears that there is a conflict between those purposes shall attach greater weight to the purpose of conserving and enhancing the natural beauty and cultural heritage of the are comprised in the Nation Park” and 177-9 incl. of the National Planning Framework need to be taken into consideration.

After reading his last paragraph where he says Condition 3 in the approval document “was proposed in the eleventh hour of the planning process” I feel that the applicant is trying to separate the connection between his property (Beacholme) and the cafe. As the Committee is aware no condition should be added to any approval that is not reasonable, appropriate and necessary. The applicant already has storage facilities in his ownership.

I feel that if the committee feel like agreeing to any expansion it would be better to go back to his original plan have the extension behind the cafe and that the cliff works itemised in the first application could be a way forward. If the work on the cliff stabilisation is given permission I hope this will be done with due regard given to those living nearby especially to construction noise and how the machinery will be brought on site. There was a specific reason why the Quarterdeck seawall was constructed and due care and attention needs to be taken.

Secondly the letter from the applicant where I was personally named. I was on the Parish Council when the first iteration of the plan was considered. I did not seek re-election to the Parish Council as I had been on quite a time. Unfortunately the applicant did not attend the meeting so has had to rely on heresay information. The Chairman quite rightly declared an interest and the Vice Chairman Cllr. Les Atkinson took the Chair. Cllr. Atkinson served on NYMNP planning Committee for a number of years so has had planning training. The Parish Council considered the application on two counts, first the

cutting back and stability work on the clay cliff and then the expansion of the cafe. The application covered both aspects. The decision to object was unanimous so a Chairman's casting vote was not necessary, this decision was minuted and agreed at the next meeting. All decisions made by a Parish Council are made by councillors as a whole. I really don't see why the Licensing Committee comes into the matter in hand.

Regards,

Cllr.Jane. Mortimer
Fylingdales Ward

'Inthorpe', Middlewood Lane, Fylingthorpe, Whitby, North
Yorkshire. YO22 4TT