

From: Mike Hawtin

Sent: 20 June 2022 11:47

To: Megan O'Mara

Cc: Zara Hanshaw

Subject: RE: Discharge of Conditions at Lawns Farm, Ugthorpe - NYM/2022/0359/CVC

Hi Megan

I can confirm that these down lights and specification meet our Dark Skies requirements.

Kind regards

Mike

From: Mark Antcliff
Sent: 25 May 2022 14:52
To: Megan O'Mara
Subject: RE: Lawns Farm NYM/2022/0359

Thanks Megan

Sorry - I was looking in the original application not the CVC

Condition 9 is well covered by the submission and aligns with my own assessment.

There are two minor omissions:

1. The service routing is said to be on an OS extract but I can't see it in the document. I don't think it matters if they are all located to the south of the pods as stated in the Elliot Consultancy document.
2. The new footpath should also be laid above existing ground level with no excavations. This should be added to the method statement as it would be a shame to damage roots here after making the effort to protect them elsewhere.

Other than these I'm satisfied that the development can proceed subject to full compliance with the recommendations in the report.

Kind regards

Mark

Mark Antcliff
Woodland Officer

From:
To:
Cc: [Planning](#)
Subject: NYM/2022/0359 Lawns Farm, Barry Bank, Ugthorpe
Date: 13 June 2022 12:56:53

Good afternoon Megan,

I have reviewed the amended information submitted. The details included in the demonstrate that downlighting will be utilised and the solar powered lights will be warm white lighting at 3000K. No details of the bulbs to be used in the wall mounted downlights have been provided, these should be =3000K as well, 2700K is preferred. I support the inclusion of additional native species planting, and the proposed gapping up of the hedgerow.

Best wishes,

Zara Hanshaw ACIEEM
Assistant Ecologist
[\(she/her\)](#)

North York Moors National Park Authority
The Old Vicarage, Bondgate, Helmsley, York, YO62 5BP

From:
To:
Subject: RE: NYM/2022/0359
Date: 23 May 2022 14:50:11

Hi Megan

I'd like to seek clarification on a couple of points.

The document states

"1 no. light to be fixed to the side of the pod door which are to be down lighters each with a cowl fitted. Light to be set within a reveal, on the front face of the pod itself"

However of the two images shown, the one to the left isn't a down light whereas the light at the bottom of the list of three on the right is a down light but there are no specifications for either. Can we confirm which is being proposed?

If it's the light that is not a downlight ie unshielded then it would need to be a maximum of 500 lumens and whichever light is selected it should be 2700k where available (or a maximum of 3000k). Can the applicant also confirm what controls will be in place to prevent lights being left on all night

The document also states

"In addition to the above, there is to be no further mains lighting at the site - it is confirmed that any additional external lighting will be solar powered."

Can we request the type and specification of these solar lights? Typically they are bluer white lights without sensors which wouldn't be acceptable.

Kind regards
Mike