

From: _____
To: [Planning](#)
Subject: Graham Kemp
Date: 15 October 2018 19:28:04

Dear sir/
Madame,

I am writing in support of Graham Kemp's application for planning permission.

I own a cottage called little Pearl in Robin Hoods Bay and believe Graham's proposals will be of benefit to the local area.

I sincerely hope his plans will be approved.

Kind regards,

Mark Hanretty

From: [Planning](#)
To: [Planning](#)
Subject: Comments on NYM/2018/0558/FL - Case Officer Mrs H Saunders - Received from L Houlston at Holly barn, Yo212qa
Date: 12 October 2018 16:28:32

Object to stable door as when open and serving it may be a nuisance to people living near by. Object to variation of conditions to sell food and drinks as was put on by national parks to stop over development.

Comments made by L Houlston of Holly barn, Yo212qa

Preferred Method of Contact is Email

Comment Type is Comment

From: [Planning](#)
To: [Planning](#)
Subject: Comments on NYM/2018/0558/FL - Case Officer Mrs H Saunders - Received from Mr Zahid Khan at 4 Crescent Terrace, West Cliff, Whitby, YO21 3EL
Date: 12 October 2018 16:48:45

Can i please object to the plan to vary conditions allowing the new takeaway to sell every cold food and drink as i feel this would be unfair to change conditions that were placed on this very controversial plan that were placed on the planning decision to just keep changing them makes a mockery of the planning procedure. The side opening door is also a bad idea as it will no doubt become a serving hatch causing more annoyance. If it doesn't become a serving hatch, it will spread noise etc. from the takeaway to the side properties and area.

Comments made by Mr Zahid Khan of 4 Crescent Terrace, West Cliff, Whitby, YO21 3EL

Phone

EMail

Preferred Method of Contact is Email

Comment Type is Comment

From: [Planning](#)
To: [Planning](#)
Subject: Comments on NYM/2018/0558/FL - Case Officer Mrs H Saunders - Received from Mr neil purves at willowdene, church lane, fylingthorpe, yo224pn
Date: 12 October 2018 11:23:35

I would like to object to the stable door as if this is left open or does become a serving hatch this will be a nuisance if left open to people that live nearby and visitors who are sat on the memorial benches. I note conditions were placed on the planning application as to what can be sold and these are now wanting to be changed, as the conditions were placed by the National Parks i think they should be kept. The original tiny seasonal Tea Hut from the past selling tea and crisps this development was supposed to be reproducing appears to be turning into a fully blown takeaway to the detriment of the village.

Comments made by Mr neil purves of willowdene, church lane, fylingthorpe, yo224pn
Phone

Preferred Method of Contact is Post

Comment Type is Comment

From: [Planning](#)
To: [Planning](#)
Subject: Comments on NYM/2018/0558/FL - Case Officer Mrs H Saunders - Received from Miss emily baker at 2 Beck Holme, Sleights, Whitby, YO22 5AG
Date: 12 October 2018 10:17:18

I wish to strongly object to this application as I believe it will cause annoyance to the villagers that live around the take away if there is an open side door or serving hatch allowed to the side with extra noise and smells. I also wish to object to the variation to condition 4 to allow the applicant to sell any cold food/drink as I believe this will lead to further over development of this conservation area. The condition was put in place in the original planning application and after years of planning by the developer to want to start changing the conditions before the ink is even dry is very wrong and I do hope the National Park keep the conditions they set to protect the village.

Comments made by Miss emily baker of 2 Beck Holme, Sleights, Whitby, YO22 5AG
Phone

Comment Type is Comment

From: [Planning](#)
To: [Planning](#)
Subject: Comments on NYM/2018/0558/FL - Case Officer Mrs H Saunders - Received from Mrs Tanya Kipling at 2 Beck Holme, Sleights, Whitby, YO22 5AG
Date: 12 October 2018 09:57:15

I would like to object to the property developer wanting to change the conditions as they were put in place by the National parks for a reason and to be able to keep going back to remove or change them defeats the object of the conditions.

Comments made by Mrs Tanya Kipling of 2 Beck Holme, Sleights, Whitby, YO22 5AG
Phone

Preferred Method of Contact is Post

Comment Type is Comment

-----Original Message-----

From:

Sent: 01 October 2018 15:19

To: Planning

Cc:

Subject: Planning application : NYM/2018/0177/FL

Dear Mr Hill

Apologies for the slight delay in replying but I would like to confirm my approval to the recent amendments in the above planning application for the land in front of Beacholme on Quarterdeck, Covets Hill, Robin Hoods Bay.

It has my total support.

Kind regards

Gaynor Barnes

Laural Bank

47 Hough Top, Leeds

Sent from my iPad

From: [Planning](#)
To: [Planning](#)
Subject: Comments on NYM/2018/0558/FL - Case Officer Mrs H Saunders - Received from Mrs suzy purves at willowdene, church lane, fylingthorpe, yo224pn
Date: 02 October 2018 16:28:51

I would like to object to the plans re variations of condition 2 as if the top side door is to be left open or used as a serving hatch this could lead to potential nuisance and annoyance to the residents that have properties and patios above with noise and smells coming from the from the new opening. As it stands the lovely overhanging roof should hopefully mask the potential noise from the proper front opening and it also faces out to sea but the new side opening hatch/door would be facing properties i think a better option to keep cool would be a simple extractor fan on the south side of the building. I think a hanging sign under the roofs overhanging front lip would look better than altering the lovely wave like roof profile. I would also like to strongly object to the variation of condition 4 to be able to sell any cold food and drink as the national parks thoughtfully placed the present conditions and extensive list of items that can be sold for a reason and i see no reason to change this. I note that reasons given by the National Parks planning for condition 4 was NYM core policy A and NYM development policy 3, Which i quote "seek to enhance and conserve the special qualities of the NYM national park and ensure the development does not have an adverse effect on the amenities of local residents, The local community and visitors". And so i think to remove or change the conditions would have an adverse effect and therefore go against this policy.

Comments made by Mrs suzy purves of willowdene, church lane, fylingthorpe, yo224pn
Phone
EMail
Preferred Method of Contact is Post

Comment Type is Comment

From: [Planning](#)
To: [Planning](#)
Subject: Comments on NYM/2018/0558/FL - Case Officer Mrs H Saunders - Received from Mrs Holly Gray at 6 Laburnum Avenue, Robin hoods bay, Whitby, Yo224rr
Date: 28 September 2018 12:42:49

Dear National Parks Committee Members,

First off, it took me a long time to find this application number as it is not on the letter. Why was that missed off?

Well, as we predicted the applicant is changing the plans already. You have to ask why was a sign not in the original plans? And I see the size has been changed again, why?

I see the name is called 'the crab pot' which suggests the selling of seafood, which as we know will cause unpleasant smells if left in the bins surrounding the area. SBC are already stretched in emptying the litter bins so this is just going to cause more problems and push SBC to the limit.

I am still in complete shock that you have allowed a new build within the conservation area with over 50 objections from local residents, when other properties are desperate for building works to make them safe but you will not allow it. How is this different and how is this new build allowed bi-folding doors and what now looks like a serving hatch? Do you really believe it's just so they can leave it open in summer months? If you do allow this I ask you to put in a condition to which he cannot serve food from it. This is not in keeping with village. The new sign proposed is so big that it's loosing the wave design that you all liked so much in the first place, again why wasn't a sign thought of in the first place? Was it just an excuse to then apply for more food to be sold?

A lot of people in the village have been truly disappointed in your decision to let this go ahead, as are visitors who regularly come to the village. They're now saying that if the smells of frying and noise are too much, they will not be holidaying here; that they come here for the old fashioned way of life. If they wanted a busy sea front they would go to Scarborough. This affects Core Policy A which is not enhancing or conserving the NYM and it will have an affect on other occupiers and business owners in the village. As we said before how will this 'tea hut' be controlled? Where will it end? How long before he applies for late opening and an alcohol licence? Selling Buckets spades etc. etc. Blackpool seafront comes to mind.

You say the new build needs to be lifted off the ground to look like the original tea hut...again I can see no resemblance, unless the applicant is going to roll his tea hut away at the end of every season?

I think some of the comments at the last meeting about 'walkers not being welcome for just a cup of tea' were absolutely absurd and down right rude to the other pub and cafe owners. Saying it will be a welcome place for Coast To Coast walkers, I sell Coast To Coast memorabilia and let me tell you the first thing they want it's a pint not a cup of tea.

I would like to question the 'store room' for deck chairs, and the area round the side for gravel...what is that for? Will this just be another planning application for outside seating later in the day?

As it has been stated, we cannot make objections personal, yet the few supporting letters from his friends (not local residents) all made it about how wonderful the applicant is, when all I can see is the upset, stress, time and effort he has caused us. If he really did love the village as stated in the last meeting why is he doing all of this? For his ego? Yes I think so.

Unfortunately this case seems to be one rule for one and one rule for another, and it's who you know not what you know.

You seem to be making a mockery of your own rules. The beach is still protected by SSSI and is a marine conservative area. It seems like that has been forgotten for some property developer to make a quick buck.

Yours sincerely,
Holly Gray

Comments made by Mrs Holly Gray of 6 Laburnum Avenue, Robin hoods bay, Whitby, Yo224rr
Phone
EMail

From:
To: [Planning](#)
Subject: NYM/2018/0177/FL Application for Variation foa Mrs H Saunders
Date: 24 September 2018 18:46:25

Dear Mrs Saunders,

Thank you for your letter 19.09.18 we note the proposed amendments to the above planning application and fully support the variation in its entirety. The variations will enhance the design of the building and the expansion of the cold food/drinks offer will afford an increased opportunity to have a variety of cold food/drinks available.

Yours sincerely

David and Victoria Lofthouse
Clifforyd
Covet Hill
Robin Hoods Bay
YO22 4SN

From: [Planning](#)
To: [Planning](#)
Subject: Comments on NYM/2018/0558/FL - Case Officer Mrs H Saunders - Received from Mrs Elizabeth Gerhardsen at 11 Glen Close, Scalby, Scarborough, N.Yorks, YO13 0SH
Date: 24 September 2018 12:30:35

Dear Mrs. Saunders,

After two planning meetings and a site visit to Robin Hoods Bay for the planning committee planning permission was granted for the Beacholme café. This was obviously at great cost to the tax payer. There were many restrictions placed on the proposal and I feel very strongly that these must be upheld and no alteration allowed. The original proposal was met with huge local concern and disbelief that planning permission was granted. The restrictions which the planning department put in place were done so for very good reason. These decisions must not be flouted. I hope once building works actually begin the planning authority will be vigilant in making sure the building meets all the requirements to the letter. No these alterations should not be permitted.

Yours sincerely,

Mrs.E.J.Gerhardsen

Comments made by Mrs Elizabeth Gerhardsen of 11 Glen Close, Scalby, Scarborough, N.Yorks, YO13 0SH
Phone
EMail
Preferred Method of Contact is Post

Comment Type is Comment

To: [Planning](#)
Subject: Approval NYM/2018/0177/FL
Date: 16 September 2018 09:31:49

Dear Sir/Madam

I am in support of the application in respect of variation of condition 2.

Kind regards

Neville Cook

86 Mill View Road
Beverley
HU17 0UQ