

From:  
Sent: 09 December 2018 15:37  
To: General  
Subject: Planning nym/2018/0690/FL

Sir , Having heard about Mr M Shardlow,s application to build a grain storage facility on his farmland and the subsequent character assassination afforded to him by certain people, I feel i have to respond. Mr Shardlow and his family are loyal family friends and have been for many years ..Being a fisherman who married a farmers daughter i feel i am quite qualified to pass comment on the uncalled for accusations against him.. Mr Shardlow is only trying to expand his family farming business in this troubling times. I hope the NYMNP will support him in this as he is only wanting to conform, like many of us with the burden of new E.U regulations .He is also very passionate about all aspects of his farmland and rural life in his village as any one who knows him will readily admit . Yours Sincerely R Brewer 32 Mulgrave Road, Whitby

**From:**  
**To:** [Planning](#)  
**Subject:** NYM/2018/0690/FL  
**Date:** 07 December 2018 08:26:29

---

From

Dennis Stainthorpe

3, White Cottages, Sneaton, Whitby YO225HS

I am writing this letter of support for the above planning application .

Mr Shardlow has for some years now utilised storage facilities and yard at Mortar Pit Farm which I own to dry and store grain. I have recently purchased a further 90 acres. For this reason it is no longer feasible for Mr Shardlow to use Mortar Pit as I now require all the storage space.

It is positive to see that Mr Shardlow plans to erect a shed at Longstones Farm from a practical perspective as this is where the majority of land he owns is located.

The proposed location of the shed, with screening from the woods and the position set to the east of the Farm minimises it's visual impact.

It would be good to see the NYMNP support us farmers with such applications.

Dennis Stainthorpe

**From:**  
**To:** [Planning](#)  
**Subject:** Planning, NYM/2018/0609/FL  
**Date:** 03 December 2018 20:34:13

---

To whom it may concerns, I have worked for the Shardlow family for the last 44years, they have always been a forward thinking family and agriculture has always been in the forefront of there life's, I think now Mike's family has grown and taken over the running of the Beacon farm ice cream enterprise , Mike has more time on his hands to run the farm as it should be run.

I hope the shed gets your approval as it will be of great benefit to the farm and surrounding area. Thank you for taking the time to read this email, yours sincerely Bryan Noble Hagg house farm Sneaton laneRuswarp Whitby YO22 5HN

11th November 2018

## **Objection to Planning Application NYM/2018/0690/FL**

We object to the proposed storage building as it is totally inappropriate and does not consider the impact it will have on the amenity of the area. In addition, the applicant is inconsiderate to the residents of Sneatonthorpe, he shows a distinct lack of respect to the NYMPA's framework and policies and flouts NYMPA processes, thereby ruling him untrustworthy of any conditions set by the NYMPA or local authorities.

### **The Proposed Site and Building**

The size and site of the building would impact the look and nature of Sneatonthorpe from the following roads- Rakies Lane, Sneatonthorpe Lane, Stainsacre Lane, Back Lane and also from the A171 at Hawsker. The visual impact would also be affected from the footpaths at Rigg Mill Wood, Normandy Hill Top, White Moor Hill, Graystone Hills and Windmill Hill. See attached images with views from South, North and West which would impact the skyline, particularly from the south as Coast to Coast walker's view of Whitby Abbey and the sea would be blocked. The view from the East would not change the skyline but would affect the look of the village and the flow of sand stone buildings which blend in seamlessly. The applicant's agent (Bell Snoxell) was refused planning permission based for a storage building based on visual impact and skyline alone @ NYM/2017/0705 (amended application).

The proposed site is not a farmstead and is not in the vicinity of his own farm and would represent sporadic development which would be detrimental to the landscape character and appearance of this part of the National Park. Numerous planning applications have been refused permission on this basis alone. See one of many examples @ NYM/2016/0201/AGRP. The original farmhouse "Longstone House" was sold over 40 years ago.

### **The applicant and his planning history**

The applicant began construction of the proposed grain store before planning was approved. Even though not legally required to consult the neighbours of the site, this would have been courteous. On 28<sup>th</sup> August 2018, construction commenced which included numerous vehicles involved in the groundworks and foundation, many of which created excessive noise and vibration (video evidence available). Not only is he breaching planning laws, a permit was needed from Environment Health for this. Subsequent to submitting this latest planning application, work is commencing yet again without planning permission which reaffirms the applicant's heedlessness.

A building of this vast size needs justification as to its purpose and future usage, which raises the question from the previous application for a Grain Store with the associated drying machinery and noise and dust it produces. The application is vague with regard to its purpose, therefore, the reason for a need should be disregarded as disingenuous.

**Conclusion**

Approving this application would contradict previous applications which were refused permission by the NYMPA based on remote farmstead and visual impact. It would also contradict the planning permission which was granted for the holiday cottages at Longstone's Farm. The trustworthiness of the applicant is called into question and he cannot be relied upon to respect the conditions and policy of the NYMPA.

Yours Sincerely

Chris and Julie Bettam  
Rose Cottage  
Sneatonthorpe

Chris and Rita Coates  
Longstones House  
Sneatonthorpe

### Image Information

Without having access to the building plot or the use of site survey laser equipment we are able to achieve a good idea of how the farm building will visually impact the area. Using a 2.5m stake against the gable end of Wheelstone cottage we can assume the height of this building is approx. 6.3m.

The height of the farm building is 8.8m but will be set down approx. 1m (after concrete and levelling) are installed) to that of wheelstone cottage. So, its fair to assume that the elevation of the storage building will be higher than Wheelstone Cottage by approx. 1.5m. Depending on the direction and angle viewed this will seem even higher (from the south) or slightly lower (from the north).











