HS

Wendy Strangeway

From:
Sent: 24 May 2016 20:00
To: Planning
Subject: ref : NYM/2016/0057/FL
Attachments: IMG_0993.JPG; IMG_0994.JPG

Mrs Saunders

The letter we received from yourselves is to blank neighbour, looking at the proposal on line, at the neighbours details, you only have listed Manor Farm, however between Manor Farm and High Farm there is Highfield House, we are positioned between the two, directly next to High Farm, Highfield House is shown on the plans.

As the plans put forward by Ms Richardson are retrospective, I would like to point out this is not the first time Ms Richardson has applied for retrospective planning. I can only assume she feels the proper way of doing things don't apply to herself, she does as she wants and applies for planning after assuming that everyone will say "oh well its done now!".

We would like to point out that we have no objection to the building in general however, we do have concerns about certain points which directly impact on our property and ourselves, these are;

As mentioned it is retrospective planning so one would assume it is now finished, we do have disagreement with finish to the exterior, in the plans it states under point 9 of the planning application, materials for the external finish to the walls are to be rendered with monocoliche in colour earth, however it has been left plain block as you can see from the photos taken 24.05.16.

Also there are three windows, two of which look directly onto our property, in the original building there were no windows overlooking our property. It is also stated on the application that the windows are made of glass and wood, the glass is correct however they are plastic frames.

It is also not stated on the plans what the extension far right adjacent to our dining room is going to be used for, we have heard that it is going to be turned into either toilet block or kitchen, possibly again without permission. The smell from either would not be acceptable less than 2 metres from a family dining room. This section of the original building was used purely for storage, so if the new building was to be used for the same purpose we would have no objections.

We originally purchased the land which our house is built on from Ms Richardson, with the understanding that the barn would be converted to a stone cottage for her mother. This wasn't a problem to us, as we were under the impression it was a quiet location in a quiet village, since moving into our property in 2011, this has changed significantly into a major business, with both cafe and retail shop, and any future events which are going to be held in or around the business directly next door. Which also could in the future effect the value of our investment in a family home. If we knew when we bought the land that this would be the result in 2016 we would't have built and brought our family to this village, or this location, unfortunately.

Daily wagons delivering for both businesses are quite often parked at the side of the road and are only a matter 20 yards from a blind bend and making it very difficult for residents coming in and out of the village and will be made worse as the business gets bigger. When you go round the corner and there is a car on your side of the road passing the wagon on a blind bend it is very dangerous.

In conclusion to this email, our only objections are:
The finish to the exterior of the building which on our side is not rendered as is stated it would be in plans

The opening windows looking on to our property
The possible smell resulting from either the toilet block or kitchen in the portion of building closest to our house, which on the plans the use of has not been stated.

Thanks, Mr and Mrs E Ambler, and Miss K Ambler
Highfield House, Newholm, YO21 3QY


NYMNPA
25 MAY 2016


NYMNPA
25 MAY 2016