

AT

Wendy Strangeway

From: Ailsa Teasdale
Sent: 01 March 2017 12:47
To: Planning
Subject: NYM2016/0441/LB

From: Edward Freedman
Sent: 01 March 2017 12:38
To: Ailsa Teasdale
Subject: RE: Just in case its gets missed...

Thanks. The amended details are an improvement in terms of now including traditionally constructed integral glazing bars but still do not follow the method of construction and product specification that we have previously repeatedly advised we could support. The use of standard double glazing units remains harmful to the architectural character and appearance of the building because they require wider glazing bars to support the weight of the units and accommodate the sightlines required by the bulkier unit; and standard units have visible aluminium punctuated spacers which are consequently more visible than the specialist 'heritage' units which have slimmer dimensions and flat spacers to provide the most discreet appearance. For this reason we would maintain again that the details should be revised to incorporate slim 'heritage' units in traditional glazing bars (20-25mm width) to match the originals, pointed in a compatible putty-substitute. Heritage units are now widely used and for the sake of consistency as well as visual impact I think standard double glazed units should not be approved, and if the details are not amended as requested unfortunately I would recommend refusing the application.

Edward Freedman
Building Conservation Officer

