

1. What is Significant about the Heritage Asset?

I believe that Thornton Le Dale is a picturesque North Yorkshire village, with some interesting and historical features and landmarks i.e. the beck, thatched cottage and church. It is very much on the tourist trail with plenty of central retail outlets and eating places. A wonderful place to live, and to bring up our two children.

2. What works are proposed?

Replacement of windows and door.

3. What impact do the works have on the part of the heritage asset affected?

Please refer to my previous letter/statement and photographs of other properties on Church Lane. Our property is very important to us, as is the look. We would not think about spoiling the look of Daisy Cottage by installing any old double glazing. We are proposing high cost and highly detailed uPVC double glazing, which we believe is very much in keeping with the existing windows and door. The existing windows and doors, although wood are not original to the cottage. We understand that we could put the cheapest windows to the side and rear of the property without any issues. As you can see, we are not intending to do this, as we believe that the side and rear have much more of a visual impact to the surrounding area than the front. The side and rear face directly on to Church Lane and are in full view of passers-by. It has to be said that we get very little pedestrian traffic as we are quite a distance away from the centre. The front of the property is not very visible to passers-by, due to the presence of fauna, especially in summer when the front of the property is almost fully obscured from view. The windows that we are proposing are indeed of much better quality and specification than can be found on other properties on Church Lane (please see photographs) that have much more of a visual impact on the street scene.

4. How has the impact of the proposals been minimised?

We are proposing highly detailed windows and door that are very in keeping with the existing windows and door. In fact we are proposing these on every aspect of the property, even when we are not forced to do so. Any detrimental impact that could be perceived to the front aspect (we do not believe there would be a negative impact) is very much minimised by the shielding of trees etc.

