



North York Moors National Park Authority

A member of the Association of National Park Authorities

The Old Vicarage, Bondgate, Helmsley, York YO62 5BP
 Tel: 01439 772700 Fax: 01439 770691
 Email general@northyorkmoors.org.uk www.northyorkmoors.org.uk
 Planning enquiries email: planning@northyorkmoors.org.uk

Andy Wilson
 Chief Executive (National Park Officer)

Peter Hickson
 Galloway Estates
 By email to:

Your ref:
 Our ref: NYM\2014\ENQ\10857

NYM\2014\ENQ\10857
 Date:
 22 DEC 2014

5 December 2014

Dear Mr Hickson

Proposed Broadband Installations at Egton and Egton Bridge, North York Moors National Park.

Thank you for your enquiry received with regard to the above matter. I refer to the above enquiry and to our site meeting with regard to the proposed development.

Following our site meeting, my comments on each of the sites are set out below.

1. Roadside Car Park to North of Egton – This is a relatively prominent site, but an important one for coverage. Realistically there are no other better sites at this end of the village as you would otherwise stray into the Conservation Area which would be much more problematic. Height should be kept to the minimum needed. Ground equipment may be better if screened with shrubs similar to the car park ?
2. Plough Farm – Whilst accepting the reluctance of the tenant, this site appears to work well, although perhaps not quite as well as Kirkdale. The building and the mast sit a little more into the open countryside. That said this site would still be acceptable, providing the installation is not much taller than the building.
3. Red House Farm - The main buildings on the site are listed, although the building to the north east corner of the site and the farm house appear not to be listed. The location in behind this building is much better than the road frontage and generally very discreet. It would be of concern if this pole needed to be more than 12m in height. It appeared from discussion on site that a 6m to 8m pole would suffice.
4. Kirkdale – This seems to be a very good site, whether the infrastructure is building mounted or mounted on a pole adjacent to the building. Again from discussion on site it appeared that this installation would only need to be a couple of meters higher than the building.



NYM/NPA

22 DEC 2014

NYM / 2014 / 0857 / FL 1

Our Ref: NYM2014\ENQ\10857

2

Date: 5 December 2014

5. Roadside to North of Egton Bridge – Site located in the field with a very strong tree line backdrop. Mast would be below the line of the trees. This site would appear to have very little visual impact if appropriately painted.

6. Key Green to South of Intake Wood – This site on the edge of the wood seemed to be the best option on the south side of Egton Bridge. There were a number of trees in the immediate locality, most of which were of little consequence and are not in any case protected. There was a large oak tree which was significant and should be avoided. A mast of greater height than the trees could be problematic, although due to the steepness of the land to the south, the mast would not skyline.

7. Glaisdale Side – This is probably the most problematic site as it is located out in open countryside. I have spoken to the Court Leet and they did not expect that there would be a problem siting on the verge if needs be, although they would look for a modest rent (they call it a fine) for the site. Ideally this pole would be in wood and would be no higher than a telegraph pole (9m). There is not much else in the way of roadside infrastructure in this area and a small stone clad enclosure for the ground installation needs consideration.

D9. St. Mary's Flats - main issue here is the trees which are covered by the comments from Mark Antcliff on my earlier email. The property is in the conservation area and as such siting and colour of equipment should be considered in order to disguise the development as much as possible. Generally, on building sites are to be preferred to masts.

Some other general points for clarification. I understand that Chris France has obtained initial support for changing the scheme of delegation which will hopefully be agreed shortly. This will mean that short of a deluge of complaints, the scheme will be dealt with under delegated powers.

It is suggested that three applications be submitted for clarity. One which covers sites 1,2,3 and 4; One which covers sites 5,6 and D9 and One for Glaisdale Side. The fee would be £385 per application.

Matt paint can be very effective at reducing the visual impact. We have gone for dark grey matt for other installations of this nature.

I hope that this clarifies the situation with regard to this matter. However, if you have any further questions, prior to the applications being submitted, please do not hesitate in contacting me. Should there be any issues on receipt of the applications I will ask Wendy Strangeway from our administration team to contact you directly in order to make validation as smooth as possible.

Yours sincerely

Peter Jones
Team Leader Planning (North)

Peter Hickson

Subject: FW: M3D9EBC - KIRKDALE ENQ\10857

-----Original Message-----

From: Peter Jones [mailto:]
Sent: 14 December 2014 16:26
To: Peter Hickson
Subject: RE: M3D9EBC - KIRKDALE

That is a very neat solution.
No further comments.
Peter

Sent from Samsung Mobile

----- Original message -----

From: Peter Hickson < >
Date: 12/12/2014 19:09 (GMT+00:00)
To: Peter Jones < >
Cc: Chris Franklin < >
Subject: RE: M3D9EBC - KIRKDALE

Peter

Drawings attached of Kirkdale. Any comments?

Regards

Peter Hickson
Galloway Estates Ltd
01628 898992
07970 679998

From: Peter Jones [< >]
Sent: 05 December 2014 16:15
To: Peter Hickson
Subject: M3D9EBC

Dear Peter

Brief comments on sites as discussed.

Anything further, give me a call.

Regards

Peter

NYM
22 DEC 2014