

MICHAEL MILLER BA. (Hons) ARCH. MCIAT
Architectural Consultant

16 Park View. Glaisdale. Whitby. YO21 2PP.

w: m2architecture.co.uk



NYMNPA
30 JAN 2015

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT at
NESTLING HOUSE, LITTLEBECK, WHITBY, YO22 5EY
NYM/2014/0663/FL

TREE SURVEY.

1.0 REQUIREMENT.

The Local Planning Authority has requested a basic tree survey for the above application.

2.0 Existing Tree Locations.

There are five trees which are on or near the proposed development site. The location of these are shown on the attached drawing 148.01a Existing Site Plan (Trees).

The existing site has a terraced garden area to the south which over time has become overgrown. There are many species of trees/shrubs and other bushes which appear to have self seeded and the appearance is of an unmanaged area. The present occupiers wish to reclaim this garden area for their use and better manage the growth in it. This will mean clearing away some of the growth; this is best viewed on-site.

3.0 SITE.

3.1 Adjacent Proposed Tea Room.

A holly tree is situated adjacent to the existing Studio building and beside the beck. The roots of the tree have pushed the wall of the beck away from the main structure and that will need to be repaired. From the opposite bank a large Cherry tree reaches over the beck and over shadows the Holly tree. The two have become intertwined and are almost indistinguishable one from the other. In order to allow for the development as proposed, it is intended that the Holly tree is removed. This will allow for the re-construction of the beck wall which will halt any immediate erosion which may lead to water flow restriction if left unchecked. This course of action also allows for the construction of the toilet facility for the proposed Tea Room.

3.2 Cypress & Sycamore.

These trees are located 14 and 16 metres from the existing dwelling and would be some 11 metres from the proposed Garage building. Consequently these two trees will not be affected by the development.

3.3 Beech adjacent existing chicken run.

This tree is proposed to be removed in order to allow for the development to take place.

4.0 CONCLUSIONS.

The trees on this site would benefit from a proper management and care. They exist in a domestic garden situation in contrast to the forested areas adjacent to the property. The proposed removal of the two trees would not be a detrimental loss to the site. The tending of the garden area would be an asset to the setting of the house within the National Park.

NYM / 2015 / 0063 / FL 1

3.2 Cypress & Sycamore



3.1 Cherry & Holly adjacent Studio



NYMNP
30 JAN 2015

Michael Miller BA. (Hons) ARCH. MCIAT
Member of The Chartered Institute of Architectural Technologists

NYM / 2015 / 0063 / FL - 1



NYMNP
30 JAN 2015

Michael Miller BA. (Hons) ARCH. MCIAT
Member of The Chartered Institute of Architectural Technologists