

APPENDIX ES9

ES9.1 Air Quality Assessment Methodology





ES9.1 Air Quality Methodology

Submitted to:

REPORT

Report Number.

12514580441/A.0





Table of Contents

1.0	AIR QU	IALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	1
	1.1	Assessment of Impact Magnitude and Significance	1
2.0	SITE C	ONSTRUCTION (DUST)	1
	2.1	Screening Criteria (IAQM)	1
	2.2	Determining Magnitude of Risk	2
	2.3	Significance Criteria	2
3.0	SITE O	PERATION (ROAD TRAFFIC EMISSIONS)	3
	3.1	DMRB Screening	3
	3.2	Determining the Magnitude of Risk	3
	3.3	Significance Criteria	4

TABLES

Table App ES9.1: Sensitivity of Surrounding Area of the Site	2
Table App ES9.2: Magnitude of Risk for Dust Soiling during Site Construction	2
Table App ES9.3: Significance of Residual Effects (with mitigation)	2



1.0 AIR QUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

In an EIA, it is necessary to establish a transparent methodology that sets out clearly the relevant criteria against which the potentially significant environmental effects of a development will be judged. The methods applied for this AQIA are detailed below.

1.1 Assessment of Impact Magnitude and Significance

Assessment of the potential impact magnitude i.e. scale, arising from the release of airborne substances during the development, and the significance of residual effects on human health and the local environment (i.e. loss of amenity), has been undertaken in relation to both 'controlled' point source emissions and potential 'fugitive' releases during the following phases of site development:

- i) Site Construction i.e. during site preparation/construction works (dust and PM₁₀), and
- ii) Site Operation i.e. road traffic emissions.

2.0 SITE CONSTRUCTION (DUST)

The assessment method is aligned with the Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM) *Guidance on the* Assessment of the Impacts of Construction on Air Quality and the Determination of their Significance (December 2011). In addition, best practice guidance presented in the Greater London Authority (GLA) Best Practice Guidance Control of dust and emissions from construction and demolition sites has been considered in the assessment.

The assessment of construction dust impact is based on the evaluation of probable risk for annoyance (i.e. loss of amenity) to occur due to soiling of surfaces by dust taking into account the site area, location (i.e. neighbouring receptors and their sensitivity), separation distances between potential dust sources and receptors and local meteorological conditions. Where assessed as having the potential for significant adverse effects, the necessary mitigation measures have been developed in order that residual impacts will be minimised.

The assessment steps are:

- Step 1 Screening assessment to identify the requirement for a detailed assessment;
- Step 2 Assess the risk of dust effects arising determined by evaluating the scale and nature of the works and proximity of sensitive receptors;
- Step 3 Identify need for site-specific mitigation proposed construction activities, and
- Step 4 Define effects and their significance after applying site-specific mitigation measures.

2.1 Screening Criteria (IAQM)

Section ES12 details the location of sensitive receptors with respect to the Site boundary. Sensitive receptors are located within 500 m of the Site boundary (nearest existing dwelling c.30 m) and within 25 m of the route to be used by construction vehicles on the public highway.

Given the scale and extent of construction works and the proximity of sensitive receptors, it was deemed necessary to undertake a detailed assessment is deemed necessary for the development.





2.2 Determining Magnitude of Risk

Table App ES9.1: Sensitivity of Surrounding Area of the Site

Sensitivity	Human Receptors	Ecological Designations
Very High	 Very densely populated area. More than 100 dwellings within 20 m of site. Local PM₁₀ concentrations exceed the objective. Contaminated buildings present. Very sensitive receptors (e.g. oncology units). Works continue in one area of the site for more than one year. 	
High	 Densely populated area. 10-100 dwellings within 20 m of site. Local PM₁₀ concentrations close to objective (e.g. annual mean 36-40 µg/m³). Commercially sensitive horticultural land within 20 m of site. 	Nationally Designated site.
Medium	 Suburban or edge of town area. Less than 10 dwellings within 20 m of site. Local PM₁₀ concentrations below the objective (e.g. annual mean 30-36 μg/m³). 	Locally designated site.
Low	 Rural area: industrial area. No dwellings within 20 m of site. Local PM₁₀ concentrations well below the objective (less than 75%). 	No designation

Table App ES9.2: Magnitude of Risk for Dust Soiling during Site Construction

Distance to Nearest	t Receptor (m) ¹	Dust Emission Class		
Dust Soiling and PM ₁₀	Ecological	Large Medium S		Small
<20	-	High Risk Site	High Risk Site	Medium Risk Site
20-100	<20	High Risk Site	Medium Risk Site	Low Risk Site
100-200	20-40	Medium Risk Site	Low Risk Site	Low Risk Site
200-350	40-100	Medium Risk Site	Low Risk Site	Negligible

¹Distance from the dust emission source. Where this is not known then the distance should be from the site boundary (risk is based on the distance to the nearest receptors.

2.3 Significance Criteria

Table App ES9.3: Significance of Residual Effects (with mitigation)

Sensitivity of	Risk of Site Giving Rise to Dust Effects			
Surrounding Area	High	Medium	Low	
Very High	Slight adverse	Slight adverse	Negligible	
High	Slight adverse	Negligible	Negligible	
Medium	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	
Low	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	

3.0 SITE OPERATION (ROAD TRAFFIC EMISSIONS)

3.1 DMRB Screening

In terms of assessing the potential effects on local air quality of the changes in road traffic flow and composition brought about by the development, a screening assessment has been undertaken using the guidance given in the advice note HA 207/07 within Design Manual for Roads and Bridges.

Guidance in DMRB 11.3.1, 2007 is aimed at determining the level of assessment necessary to enable informed decision making for road schemes assessments. The guidance sets out the following criteria for identification of 'affected roads', (i.e. roads where traffic could have a significant impact on local air quality due to changes in traffic flows and composition):

- Road alignment will change by 5 m or more;
- AADT (Annual Average Daily Traffic) Flows will change by 1000 vehicles or more;
- HGV flows will change by 200 AADT or more;
- Daily average speed will change by 10 km/hr or more; or
- Peak hour speed will change by 20 km/hr or more.

3.2 Determining the Magnitude of Risk

The Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM, 2010) acknowledges that assessing the significance of impacts of a development on air quality cannot be reduced to strict, formulaic methodology and that judgement will always be required. In the absence of statutory guidance on significance criteria for air quality, the IAQM is currently working towards the development of a generic method for assessing the magnitude for changes in ambient pollutant concentrations against national air quality criteria set to protect human health and the environment (i.e. air quality objectives).

The IAQM significance approach has been modified accordingly to fit with the assessment of point source gaseous emissions (i.e. combustion, biogas) from the Facility, as presented below.



Table App ES9.4: Definition of impact magnitude for changes in pollutant concentrations NO ₂ ar	۱d
PM ₁₀	

Magnitude of Change	Description			
Large	An increase/decrease of >4 μg/m ³ of the annual mean concentration.			
Medium	An increase/decrease of 2-4 μg/m ³ of the annual mean concentration.			
Small	An increase/decrease of 0.4-2 μg/m ³ of the annual mean concentration.			
Imperceptible	An increase/decrease of <0.4 μg/m ³ of the annual mean concentration.			

3.3 Significance Criteria

Table App ES9.5: Air Quality Impacts Descriptors for Changes in Annual Mean NO2 Concentrations

Absolute Concentration in Relation to Objective/Limit Value	Change in Concentration**		
	Small	Medium	Large
Increase with operation of Developmen	t		
Above Objective/Limit Value WITH scheme (> 40 μg/m ³)	Slight Adverse	Moderate Adverse	Substantial Adverse
Just Below Objective Limit Value WITH scheme (36-40 µg/m ³)	Slight Adverse	Moderate Adverse	Moderate Adverse
Below Objective Limit Value WITH scheme (30-36 μg/m ³)	Negligible	Slight Adverse	Slight Adverse
Well Below Objective Limit WITH scheme (< 30 µg/m ³)	Negligible	Negligible	Slight Adverse
Decrease with operation of Development	nt		
Above Objective/Limit Value WITHOUT scheme (> 40 μg/m ³)	Slight Beneficial	Moderate Beneficial	Substantial Beneficial
Just Below Objective Limit Value WITHOUT scheme (36-40 µg/m ³)	Slight Beneficial	Moderate Beneficial	Moderate Beneficial
Below Objective Limit Value WITHOUT scheme (30-36 µg/m ³)	Negligible	Slight Beneficial	Slight Beneficial
Well Below Objective Limit WITHOUT scheme (< 30 µg/m ³)	Negligible	Negligible	Slight Beneficial





Signature Page

GOLDER ASSOCIATES (UK) LTD

Company Registered in England No.1125149. At Attenborough House, Browns Lane Business Park, Stanton-on-the-Wolds, Nottinghamshire NG12 5BL VAT No. 209 0084 92 Golder, Golder Associates and the GA globe design are trademarks of Golder Associates Corporation.



At Golder Associates we strive to be the most respected global company providing consulting, design, and construction services in earth, environment, and related areas of energy. Employee owned since our formation in 1960, our focus, unique culture and operating environment offer opportunities and the freedom to excel, which attracts the leading specialists in our fields. Golder professionals take the time to build an understanding of client needs and of the specific environments in which they operate. We continue to expand our technical capabilities and have experienced steady growth with employees who operate from offices located throughout Africa, Asia, Australasia, Europe, North America, and South America.

Golder Associates (UK) Ltd Golder House Tadcaster Enterprise Park Station Road Tadcaster North Yorkshire LS24 9JF UK

